Pages 10115–10144

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 27 NUMBER 201

Washington, Tuesday, October 16, 1962

Contents

(*Continued on next page*)

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

3 CFR

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
Dec. 12, 1917 (modified by PLO 2784) 10121

10 CFR

112 10117

14 CFR

507 (3 documents) 10117, 10118
601 10118
PROPOSED RULES:
42 10124
507 (2 documents) 10125

18 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
11 10126

21 CFR

121 10118
PROPOSED RULES:
121 (7 documents) 10123

26 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
1 10123

29 CFR

3 10119
5 10119

33 CFR

202 10120

43 CFR

192 10120
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
2589 (corrected by PLO 2783) 10121
2783 10121
2784 10121

46 CFR

510 10122

FEDERAL REGISTER

Telephone WOrth 3-3261

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $1.50 per month or $15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Rules and Regulations

Title 10—ATOMIC ENERGY

Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 112—DOMINIC NUCLEAR TEST SERIES, 1962

Johnston Island Zone

On October 12, 1962, the Joint Task Force–8 issued public notice of an extension of the danger area surrounding Johnston Island effective October 15, 1962, in connection with the DOMINIC nuclear test series now being conducted in the Pacific.

To avoid any unnecessary delay or interruption of that test activity, and to protect the health and safety of the public, the Atomic Energy Commission has amended Part 112 of its regulations. This amendment which increases the danger area encompassing Johnston Island will be effective October 15, 1962.

In view of the importance of these tests to the national defense, the potential hazard to the health and safety of individuals who enter the danger area as amended, and the early date planned for tests within the area surrounding Johnston Island, the Atomic Energy Commission has found that general notice of proposed rule-making and the public procedure thereon would be contrary to the public interest; and that good cause exists why this amendment should be made effective without the customary period of notice.

Pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, Public Law 404, 79th Congress, 2d Session, the following rules are published as a document subject to codification, to be effective October 15, 1962:

Section 112.3(a)(3) is deleted, effective October 15, 1962, and the following new § 112.3(a)(3) is added:

(3) That area established, effective October 15, 1962, until a date to be announced, consisting of a zone encompassing Johnston Island and which is a circle of 600 nautical miles radius at the surface gradually extending to a circle of 635 nautical miles radius at an altitude of 5,000 feet, then gradually extending to a circle of 700 nautical miles radius at an altitude of 10,000 feet, then gradually extending to a circle of 750 nautical miles radius at an altitude of 20,000 feet, then gradually extending to a circle of 780 nautical miles radius at an altitude of 30,000 feet, then gradually extending to a circle of 810 nautical miles radius at an altitude of 40,000 feet and above, centered at the following geographic coordinates.

16°15′ N., and 169° 30′ W.

(Sec. 161p, 72 Stat. 337; 42 U.S.C. 2201(p). Interpret or apply secs. 2, 3, 91, 68 Stat. 921, as amended, 922, 936; 42 U.S.C. 2012, 2013, 2121)

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 12th day of October 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

A. R. LUEDECKE,
General Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10381; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER C—AIRCRAFT REGULATIONS

[Reg. Docket No. 1423; Amdt. 494]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Cessna Models 150, 175, 175A, 175B, and 175C Aircraft

It has been determined that there has been improper installation of the Airborne Mechanisms Model 113A5 vacuum pump on Cessna Models 150, 175, 175A, 175B, and 175C aircraft under Supplemental Type Certificates issued to Airborne Mechanisms Division of Randolph Manufacturing Co. Improper installation can cause failure of the pump due to overloading of the pump drive gear, resulting in loss of instrument power and failure of the pump drive system within the engine. Accordingly, an airworthiness directive is being issued to require removal and proper reinstallation of the pumps.

As a situation exists which demands immediate action in the interest of safety, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days after date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489), § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), is hereby amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

CESSNA. Applies to all Models 150, 175, 175A, 175B, and 175C aircraft equipped with Continental engines O–200A, GO–300A, and GO–300C modified to incorporate Airborne Mechanisms Model 113A5 vacuum pumps (Supplemental Type Certificates Nos. SA1–630 and SA1–610 amended March 13, 1962, issued to Airborne Mechanisms Division of Randolph Manufacturing Co.).

Compliance required within the next 10 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD.

In order to prevent failure of the Airborne Mechanisms Model 113A5 vacuum pump because of improper installation, remove and reinstall the pump as follows:

Mount the pump and then remove it from the engine drive pad. The coupling will remain in the engine spline and the separation will occur between the pump shaft and the coupling. The coupling must then be pressed 1/16 inch further into the engine spline. Reinstall the pump, and insure that the steel cross vanes protrude approximately 1/16 inch from the hub of the coupling.

(Airborne Mechanisms Service Letter No. 4 dated May 15, 1962, entitled "Installation Interference of Model 113A5 Drive Splines" covers this same subject.)

This amendment shall become effective October 31, 1962.

(Sec. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 9, 1962.

GEORGE C. PRILL,
Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10250; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[Reg. Docket No. 1424; Amdt. 495]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Sikorsky S–58 Helicopters

Amendment 191, 25 F.R. 8026 (AD 60–17–3), requires that all main rotor blades P/N S1615–20100 with 1,000 hours' time in service on Sikorsky S–58 helicopters be removed from service. Subsequent testing has shown that this time may be increased to 1,330 hours without affecting the level of safety. Accordingly, Amendment 191 is being amended to provide for the longer service life.

Since this amendment relaxes a requirement and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489), § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), is hereby amended as follows:

Amendment 191, 25 F.R. 8026, Sikorsky S–58 helicopters is amended by changing paragraph (a) to read as follows:

(a) All main rotor blades, P/N S1615–20100, with 1,330 or more hours' time in service shall be removed from service prior to further flight.

This amendment shall become effective October 16, 1962.

(Sec. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 9, 1962.

GEORGE C. PRILL,
Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10251; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[Reg. Docket No. 1425; Amdt. 496]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Pratt & Whitney Aircraft JT3D–1 Turbofan Engines

There have been failures of the fourth stage compressor rotor disc in Pratt & Whitney Aircraft JT3D–1 turbofan engines. As this condition is likely to occur in other engines of the same type design, an airworthiness directive is necessary to require inspection of the discs on such engines and replacement of any which are cracked.

As a situation exists which demands immediate action in the interest of safety, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489), § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), is hereby amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

PRATT & WHITNEY. Applies to all Pratt & Whitney Aircraft JT3D–1 turbofan engines.

Compliance required as indicated.

To preclude failure of the fourth stage compressor rotor disc, P/N 393504, accomplish the following:

(a) For engines previously inspected by the procedure described in paragraph (c) or for engines which have been overhauled, inspect in accordance with paragraph (c) as follows:

(1) Inspect engines which have accumulated 235 or more hours' time in service since the last such inspection or engine overhaul within the next 130 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, and every 365 hours' time in service thereafter.

(2) Inspect engines which have accumulated less than 235 hours' time in service since the last such inspection or engine overhaul, prior to the accumulation of 365 hours' time in service since the last such inspection or engine overhaul and every 365 hours' time in service thereafter.

(b) For engines which have not previously been inspected by the procedure described by paragraph (c) and which have not been overhauled, inspect in accordance with paragraph (c) as follows:

(1) Inspect engines with 300 or more hours' time in service within the next 65 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, and every 365 hours' time in service thereafter.

(2) Inspect engines with less than 300 hours' time in service prior to the accumulation of 365 hours' time in service and every 365 hours' time in service thereafter.

(c) Remove the front accessory drive support assembly (NI gearcase) and the front accessory drive main spur gear (NI gearcase coupling). Using a strong light, visually inspect the fourth stage compressor rotor disc in the area between the disc bore and the spacer shoulder on the disc. If cracking is found, remove the engine for disc replacement prior to further flight.

(d) When fourth stage compressor disc P/N 468304 is installed in place of P/N 393504, the repetitive inspections required by this AD are no longer required.

(e) The requirement for main oil screen inspections per AD 61–24–1 does not apply when the No. 1 bearing compartment is exposed for this disc inspection.

(f) Upon request of the operator, an FAA maintenance inspector, subject to prior approval of the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Eastern Region, may adjust the repetitive inspection intervals specified in this Airworthiness Directive to permit compliance at an established inspection period of the operator if the request contains substantiating data to justify the increase for such operator.

(Pratt & Whitney Aircraft telegraphic message of August 21, 1962, to all JT3D operators, covers the same subject.)

This amendment shall become effective October 16, 1962.

(Sec. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1962.

G. S. MOORE,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10252; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 62–CE–53]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.2070 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter the St. Louis, Mo., control zone.

The St. Louis control zone is designated, in part, with reference to the St. Louis radio range. This facility is being converted to a combined transcribed weather broadcast station and nondirectional radio beacon and the radio range instrument approach procedure has been cancelled. Therefore, action is taken herein to revoke the control zone extension based on the St. Louis radio range.

Since the change effected by this amendment is less restrictive in nature than present requirements, and imposes no burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) the following action is taken:

In the text of § 601.2070 (14 CFR 601.2070), "within 2 miles either side of the east course of the St. Louis radio range extending from the radio range station to a point 10 miles east," is deleted.

This amendment shall become effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 11, 1962.

CLIFFORD P. BURTON,
Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10247; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart D—Food Additives Permitted in Food for Human Consumption

HYDROGENATED SPERM OIL

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs having evaluated the data submitted in a petition (FAP 761) filed by Archer-Daniels-Midland Company, 733 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis 40, Minnesota, and other relevant material, has concluded that the following regulation should issue with respect to the food additive hydrogenated sperm oil as a release agent or lubricant in bakery pans. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)), and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (25 F.R. 8625), the food additive regulations (21 CFR Part 121) are amended by adding to Subpart D the following new section:

§ 121.1101 Sperm oil, hydrogenated.

The food additive hydrogenated sperm oil may be safely used in accordance with the following prescribed conditions:

(a) The sperm oil is derived from rendering the fatty tissue of the sperm whale or is prepared by synthesis of fatty acids and fatty alcohols derived from the sperm whale. The sperm oil obtained by rendering is refined. The oil is hydrogenated.

(b) It is used alone or as a component of a release agent or lubricant in bakery pans.

(c) The amount used does not exceed that reasonably required to accomplish the intended lubricating effect.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington 25, D.C., written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1))

Dated: October 10, 1962.

GEO. P. LARRICK,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10273; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 29—LABOR

Subtitle A—Office of the Secretary of Labor

PART 3—CONTRACTORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS ON PUBLIC BUILDING AND PUBLIC WORK AND ON BUILDING AND WORK FINANCED IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY LOANS OR GRANTS FROM THE UNITED STATES

PART 5—LABOR STANDARDS PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO CONTRACTS COVERING FEDERALLY FINANCED AND ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

Amendments Relating to Contract Work Hours Standards Act

Pursuant to section 2 of the Act of June 13, 1934 (40 U.S.C. 276), and Reorganization Plan No. 14 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1007), Parts 3 and 5 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations are hereby amended in the manner indicated below in order to implement the Contract Work Hours Standards Act and to make minor miscellaneous changes.

The amendments shall become effective October 12, 1962.

The procedural and effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act do not apply because the amendments are within the exception to section 4 relating to public loans, grants, benefits, and contracts.

The amendments to 29 CFR Parts 3 and 5 are as follows:

1. 29 CFR 3.1 is amended in order to add a reference to the Contract Work Hours Standards Act. As amended, § 3.1 reads as follows:

§ 3.1 Purpose and scope.

The regulations in this part are promulgated to aid in the enforcement of the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. 276c) and to effect the purpose of the Anti-Kickback Act (18 U.S.C. 874), the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. 276a–276a–7), the Contract Work Hours Standards Act (secs. 101–106, 76 Stat. 357–360), and certain other statutes concerning rates of pay for labor.

(Sec. 2, 48 Stat. 948, 62 Stat. 862; 40 U.S.C. 276c)

2. 29 CFR 5.1 is hereby amended to substitute a reference to the Contract Work Hours Standards Act for the reference to the Eight Hour Laws and to add references to other recent statutes containing labor standards provisions.

§ 5.1 Purpose and scope.

The regulations contained in this part are promulgated in order to coordinate the administration and enforcement of the labor standards provisions of each of the following acts by the Federal agencies responisble for their administration; i.e.:

Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. 276a–276a–7), and as extended to the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956 (23 U.S.C. 113).

Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. 276c).

Contract Work Hours Standards Act (secs. 101–106, 76 Stat. 357–360).

National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1701q, 1703, 1708–1711, 1713, 1715a, 1715k, 1715l(d)(4), 1715v, 1751w, 1715e, 1716, 1743, 1747, 1748b, 1748h–2, 1750g).

Hospital Survey and Construction Act (42 U.S.C. 291h).

Federal Airport Act (49 U.S.C. 114).

United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1416).

Housing Act of 1949 (42 U.S.C. 1459).

School Survey and Construction Act of 1950 (20 U.S.C. 636).

Defense Housing and Community Facilities and Services Act of 1951 (42 U.S.C. 1592i).

Federal Civil Defense Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2281).

Area Redevelopment Act (sec. 21, 75 Stat. 61).

Delaware River Basin Compact (sec. 15.1, 75 Stat. 714).

(Reorg. Plan No. 14 of 1950, 64 Stat. 1267, 5 U.S.C. 133z–15; 3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1007)

3. Paragraph (b) of 29 CFR 5.5 is amended to insert the condition required by the Contract Work Hours Standards Act; paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) thereof are deleted; and paragraph (f) thereof is redesignated paragraph (c). As amended, § 5.5 reads as follows:

§ 5.5 Contract provisions.

* * * * *

(b) The Agency Head shall cause or require the following clauses set forth in subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this paragraph to be included in any contract subject to the Contract Work Hours Standards Act. As used in this paragraph, the terms "laborers" and "mechanics" include watchmen and guards.

(1) *Overtime requirements.* No contractor or subcontractor contracting for any part of the contract work which may require or involve the employment of laborers or mechanics shall require or permit any laborer or mechanic to be employed on such work in excess of eight hours in any calendar day or in excess of forty hours in any workweek unless such laborer or mechanic receives compensation at a rate of not less than one and one-half times his basic rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight hours in any such calendar day or in excess of forty hours in any such workweek, as the case may be.

(2) *Violations; liability for unpaid wages; liquidated damages.* In the event of any violation of the clause set forth in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, the contractor and any subcontractor responsible therefor shall be liable to any affected employee for his unpaid wages. In addition, such contractor and subcontractor shall be liable to the United States (in the case of work done under contract for the District of Columbia or a territory, to such District or to such territory), for liquidated damages. Such liquidated damages shall be computed, with respect to each individual laborer or mechanic employed in violation of the clause set forth in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, in the sum of $10 for each calendar day on which such employee was required or permitted to work in excess of eight hours or in excess of the standard workweek of forty hours without payment of the overtime wages required by the clause set forth in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph.

(3) *Withholding for unpaid wages and liquidated damages.* The [write in the name of the Federal agency] may withhold or cause to be withheld, from any moneys payable on account of work performed by the contractor or subcontractor, such sums as may administratively be determined to be necessary to satisfy any liabilities of such contractor or subcontractor for unpaid wages and liquidated damages as provided in the clause set forth in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph.

(4) In any contract required to contain the clause set forth in subparagraph (2) of paragraph (a) of this section, the Federal Agency may modify the clause in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph so as to refer only to the withholding and determination of sums for liquidated damages.

(c) The provisions required to be included in the contracts by this section shall be physically included in the contracts. Incorporation of these provisions by reference is not compliance with the provisions of this section.

(Reorg. Plan No. 14 of 1950, 64 Stat. 1267, 5 U.S.C. 133z–15; 3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1007)

4. A new section, designated § 5.7a, is hereby added to 29 CFR Part 5, and reads as follows:

§ 5.7a Review of recommendations for an appropriate adjustment in liquidated damages under the Contract Work Hours Standards Act.

(a) *Findings and recommendations by head of agency.* Whenever the head of an agency finds that the sum of liquidated damages administratively determined to be due under section 104(a) of the Contract Work Hours Standards Act is incorrect or that the contractor or subcontractor violated inadvertently the provisions of the Contract Work Hours Standards Act notwithstanding the exercise of due care upon the part of the contractor or subcontractor involved, he may make recommendations to the Secretary that an appropriate adjustment in liquidated damages be made or that the contractor or subcontractor be relieved of liability for such liquidated damages. Such findings with respect to liquidated damages necessarily include findings with respect to any wage underpayments for which the liquidated damages are determined.

(b) *Findings by the Department of Labor.* The recommendations of the head of an agency submitted to the Department of Labor under paragraph (a) of this section shall be reviewed initially by the officer in charge of the Division of Wage Determinations. Whenever such officer concurs in the findings and recommendations of the head of the agency, he shall issue an order to that

effect, which shall be the final action of the Department of Labor with respect to the issues involved. Whenever such officer makes findings differing from those of the head of the agency, his decision shall be transmitted forthwith to the Solicitor for review. The decision and order of the Solicitor shall be the final action of the Department of Labor with respect to the issues involved.

(Reorg. Plan No. 14 of 1950, 64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. 133z–15; 3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1007)

5. 29 CFR 5.10 is amended to read as follows:

§ 5.10 Department of Labor investigations.

The Secretary shall cause to be made such investigations as he deems necessary, in order to obtain compliance with the labor standards provisions of the applicable statutes listed in § 5.1, or to affirm or reject the recommendations by the Head of an agency for an appropriate adjustment in liquidated damages assessed under the Contract Work Hours Standards Act. Federal agencies, contractors, subcontractors, sponsors, applicants or owners shall cooperate with any authorized representative of the Department of Labor in the inspection of records, in interviews with workers, and in all other aspects of the investigation. Any authorized representative of the Department of Labor under this section is deemed a person designated to aid in the enforcement of the overtime standards required by the Contract Work Hours Standards Act within the meaning of section 104(a) of that Act. A report of the investigation of such representative shall be transmitted to proper officers of the United States, any territory or possession, as the case may be, as required by the aforesaid section 104(a).

6. A new section, designated § 5.12a, is added to 29 CFR Part 5, and reads as follows:

§ 5.12a Limitations, variations, tolerances, and exemptions under the Contract Work Hours Standards Act.

(a) *General.* Upon his own initiative or upon the request of any Federal agency, the Secretary may provide under section 105 of the Contract Work Hours Standards Act reasonable limitations and allow variations, tolerances, and exemptions to and from any or all provisions of that Act whenever he finds such action to be necessary and proper in the public interest to prevent injustice or undue hardship or to avoid serious impairment of the conduct of Government business. Any request for such action by the Secretary shall be submitted in writing, and shall set forth the reasons for which the request is made.

(b) *Exemptions.* Pursuant to section 105 of the Contract Work Hours Standards Act, the following classes of contracts are found exempt from all provisions of that Act in order to prevent injustice, undue hardship, or serious impairment of Government business:

(1) Agreements entered into by or on behalf of the Commodity Credit Corporation providing for the storing in or handling by commercial warehouses of wheat, corn, oats, barley, rye, grain sorghums, soybeans, flaxseed, rice, naval stores, tobacco, peanuts, dry beans, seeds, cotton, and wool.

(2) Sales of surplus power by the Tennessee Valley Authority to States, counties, municipalities, cooperative organization of citizen or farmers, corporations and other individuals pursuant to section 10 of the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933 (16 U.S.C. 831i).

(Reorg. Plan No. 14 of 1950, 64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. 133z–15; 3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1007)

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 11th day of October 1962.

W. WILLARD WIRTZ,
Secretary of Labor.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10293; Filed, Oct. 12, 1962; 1:00 p.m.]

Title 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 202—ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

Fishers Island Sound, Conn.

Pursuant to the provisions of section 1 of an Act of Congress approved April 22, 1940 (54 Stat. 150; 33 U.S.C. 180), § 202.50a is hereby redesignated as § 202.50b, and a new § 202.50a is hereby prescribed designating a special anchorage area in Fishers Island Sound, at Stonington, Connecticut, wherein vessels not more than 65 feet in length, when at anchor, shall not be required to carry or exhibit anchor lights, effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as follows:

§ 202.50a Fishers Island Sound, Stonington, Conn.

An area on the east side of Mason Island bounded as follows:

Beginning at the shore line on the easterly side of Mason Island at latitude 41°20′06″; thence due east about 600 feet to latitude 41°20′06″, longitude 71°-57′37″; thence due south about 2,400 feet to latitude 41°19′42″, longitude 71°-57′37″; thence due west about 1,000 feet to the shore line on the easterly side of Mason Island at latitude 41°19′42″; thence along the shore line to the point of beginning.

NOTE: The area will be principally for use by yachts and other recreational craft. Temporary floats or buoys for marking anchors will be allowed. Fixed mooring piles or stakes will be prohibited. The anchoring of vessels and the placing of temporary moorings will be under the jurisdiction and the discretion of the local Harbor Master.

§ 202.50b Mystic Harbor, Groton and Stonington, Conn. [Redesignated]

[Regs., 17 September 1962, 285/111–ENGCW–ON] (Sec. 1, 54 Stat. 150; 33 U.S.C. 180)

J. C. LAMBERT,
Major General, U.S. Army,
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10246; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

SUBCHAPTER L—MINERAL LANDS

[Circular No. 2091]

PART 192—OIL AND GAS LEASES

Rentals

Basis and purpose. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by the Act of February 25, 1920 (41 Stat. 437), as amended (30 U.S.C., secs. 181–263), and section 2470 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 1201), § 192.80 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below. The purpose of the amendment is to conform this section to the opinions rendered by the Associate Solicitor, Division of Public Lands, that the rentals for the extended terms of oil and gas leases in Alaska whose primary terms expired after July 3, 1958, must be at the rate of 50 cents per acre per annum and that the rental on noncompetitive leases which include lands situated on a known geologic structure and which are committed to a nonproducing unit plan shall be payable at the same rate as rental on noncompetitive leases not committed to any unit plan of operation.

Section 192.80 is amended to read as follows:

§ 192.80 Rentals.

Rentals shall be payable in advance at the following rates:

(a) On noncompetitive leases issued on and after September 2, 1960, under section 17 of the act for lands which on the day on which the rental falls due lie wholly outside of the known geologic structure of a producing oil or gas field, or on which on the day on which the rental falls due the thirty days' notice period under paragraph (b)(1) of this section has not yet expired, an annual rental of 50 cents per acre or fraction thereof for each lease year.

(1) For the sixth and each succeeding year of a lease which issued prior to September 2, 1960, and in the State of Alaska of any lease whose initial term expired on or after July 3, 1958, rental shall be payable at the rate of 50 cents per acre or fraction thereof.

(2) For each year of the primary term of a lease which issued prior to September 2, 1960, rental shall be payable at the rate set forth in the lease.

(b) On leases wholly or partly within the known geologic structure of a producing oil or gas field:

(1) If issued noncompetitively under section 17 of the act, and not committed to a cooperative or unit plan which includes a well capable of producing oil or gas and contains a general provision for allocation of production, beginning with the first lease year after the expiration of thirty days' notice to the lessee that all or part of the land is included in such a structure and for each year thereafter prior to a discovery of oil or gas on the

leased lands, rental of $2 per acre or fraction thereof.

(2) If issued noncompetitively under section 17 of the act, and committed to an approved cooperative or unit plan which includes a well capable of producing oil or gas and contains a general provision for allocation of production, the rental prescribed for the respective lease years in paragraph (a) of this section shall apply to the acreage not within a participating area.

(3) If issued competitively, an annual rental, prior to a discovery on the leased lands, of $2 per acre or fraction thereof, unless a different rate of rental is prescribed in the lease.

(c) On leases issued in any other way, an annual rental of $1 per acre or fraction thereof.

JOHN A. CARVER, JR.,
Acting Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10256; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 2783]

[Colorado 066553]

[Colorado 048805]

COLORADO

Withdrawals for Forest Service Recreation Areas; Correcting Public Land Order No. 2589 of January 15, 1962

By virtue of the authority vested in the President, and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the minerals in the following described national forest lands in the national forests hereafter named are hereby withdrawn from prospecting, location, entry and purchase under the mining laws of the United States in aid of programs of the Forest Service for utilization of the surface as recreation areas, as indicated:

[Colorado 066553]

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

PIKE NATIONAL FOREST

Pike Community Picnic Ground

T. 11 S., R. 69 W.,
Sec. 26, W½NW¼NW¼.

South Meadows Campground

T. 11 S., R. 69 W.,
Sec. 27, W½NE¼SE¼.

Trail Creek Picnic Ground

T. 11 S., R. 70 W.,
Sec. 1, S½S½NW¼SW¼ and SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 12, N½NW¼NW¼.

Rainbow Picnic Ground

T. 12 S., R. 68 W.,
Sec. 28, E½SW¼NW¼, E½W½SW¼NW¼, NW¼NE¼SW¼, and NE¼NW¼SW¼.

Rampart Picnic Ground

T. 12 S., R. 68 W.,
Sec. 28, SW¼NW¼SW¼ and NW¼SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 29, the East 10 chains of lot 11.

Grassy Saddle Picnic Ground

T. 13 S., R. 67 W.,
Sec. 18, S½S½SW¼SE¼;
Sec. 19, W¼NE¼NE¼, N¼NW¼NE¼, and SE¼NW¼NE¼.

Trail Junction Picnic Ground

T. 13 S., R. 67 W.,
Sec. 20, NW¼SE¼.

Black Canyon Picnic Ground

T. 13 S., R. 67 W.,
Sec. 28, S½S½NE¼SW¼, SE¼SW¼, and E½E½SW¼SW¼.

Ridge Crest Picnic Ground

T. 13 S., R. 68 W.,
Sec. 13, lot 12;
Sec. 14, lot 9.

Stage Road Picnic Ground

T. 15 S., R. 67 W.,
Sec. 16, SW¼NE¼NE¼ and NW¼SE¼NE¼.

Saint Peter's Dome Picnic Ground

T. 15 S., R. 67 W.,
Sec. 17, S½S½SW¼NE¼, E½E½NE¼SW¼, E½E½SE¼SW¼, W½E½NW¼SE¼, W½NW¼SE¼, N½SW¼SE¼, and N½S½SW¼SE¼.

Wye Picnic Ground

T. 15 S., R. 68 W.,
Sec. 24, lots 19 and 20.

Eagle Rock Picnic Ground

T. 15 S., R. 68 W.,
Sec. 22, W½NW¼NE¼ and NE¼NE¼NW¼.

West Creek Picnic Ground

T. 10 S., R. 70 W.,
Sec. 12, W½W½SW¼NE¼, E½SE¼NW¼, NE¼NE¼SW¼, and W½NW¼NW¼SE¼;
Sec. 13, SW¼NE¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼NE¼, E½SW¼NW¼NE¼, E½NW¼SW¼NE¼, NE¼SW¼NE¼, W½SE¼NE¼, and W½E½SE¼.

Goose Creek Campground

T. 10 S., R. 71 W.,
Sec. 18, the East 10 chains of lot 4, and W½SE¼SW¼.

Whiteside Picnic Ground

T. 6 S., R. 74 W.,
Sec. 32, N½NE¼SW¼, SE¼NE¼SW¼, SW¼NW¼SE¼, N½SW¼SE¼, SE¼SW¼SE¼, S½NW¼SE¼SE¼, and SW¼SE¼SE¼.

Twin Eagles Campground

T. 10 S., R. 72 W.,
Sec. 30, SW¼NE¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼NE¼, E½E½SW¼NE¼, and SE¼NE¼.

Wilkerson Pass Overlook and Picnic Ground

T. 12 S., R. 73 W.,
Sec. 2, lots 11 and 12, less private land.

Tarryall Campground

T. 10 S., R. 73 W.,
Sec. 9, N½SW¼NE¼, N½S½SW¼NE¼, N½SE¼NE¼, and N½SW¼SE¼NE¼.

Horseshoe Campground

T. 10 S., R. 78 W.,
Sec. 10, E½NE¼NE¼ and NE¼SE¼NE¼;
Sec. 11, W½NE¼NW¼, NW¼NW¼, N½SW¼NW¼, and NW¼SE¼NW¼.

Jefferson Lake Campground

T. 7 S., R. 76 W.,
Sec. 10, lots 3, 4, 6, SE¼NW¼, and NE¼SW¼;
Sec. 11, lot 5;
Sec. 14, N½NW¼NW¼;
Sec. 15, N½NE¼NE¼.

Michigan Creek Campground

T. 7 S., R. 76 W.,
Sec. 27, W½W½SW¼, SE¼SW¼SW¼, S½SE¼SW¼, and S½NE¼SE¼SW¼;
Sec. 28, E½SE¼;
Sec. 33, NE¼NE¼.

Eleven Mile Canyon Recreation Area (Addition)

T. 12 S., R. 71 W.,
Sec. 31, NW¼SE¼NE¼, SW¼SW¼NE¼, N½N½SE¼SW¼, S½SW¼SE¼, S½SE¼SE¼, E½NE¼SE¼, and NE¼SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 32, W½W½SW¼.
T. 13 S., R. 71 W.,
Sec. 5, lot 4 and N½SW¼NW¼;
Sec. 6, lots 2 and 8.
T. 12 S., R. 72W.,
Sec. 36, the south 10 chains of lot 19, and the south 10 chains of lot 20.
T. 13 S., R. 72 W.,
Sec. 1, lot 6;
Sec. 2, S½S½SE¼;
Sec. 10, SE¼SW¼;
Sec. 11, SE¼SW¼NE¼, SE¼NE¼SW¼, and N½NW¼SE¼;
Sec. 12, NW¼NE¼NW¼;
Sec. 15, E½SW¼NE¼;
Sec. 16, the north 10 chains and the west 10 chains of lot 6;
Sec. 20, lot 6 and SE¼NW¼.

PIKE AND ARAPAHO NATIONAL FORESTS

Hoosier Pass Overlook and Picnic Ground

T. 8 S., R. 78 W.,
Sec. 12, south 10 chains of east 5 chains of lot 9, and south 10 chains of west 15 chains of lot 10, less private land;
Sec. 13, north 5 chains of east 5 chains of lot 2, and lot 11.

The areas described total in the aggregate approximately 2,255 acres.

[Colorado 048805]

2. In F.R. Doc. 62-651, appearing at pages 628-29 of the issue for Saturday, January 20, 1962, as Public Land Order No. 2589, the land description, "N½NE¼NE¼" in sec. 14, T. 1 N., R. 86 W., for the Lower Bear River Recreation Area, Routt National Forest is corrected to read "N½NE¼NW¼".

JOHN A. CARVER, JR.,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10257; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2784]

[Oregon 06752]

OREGON

Modifying Executive and Departmental Orders of December 12, 1917 (Power Site Reserve No. 661; Water Power Designation No. 14)

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U.S.C. 141), and otherwise, and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, and other authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior, it is ordered as follows:

The Executive order of December 12, 1917, creating Power Site Reserve No. 661, and the Departmental order of December 12, 1917, classifying certain lands as Water Power Designation No. 14, are hereby modified to the extent necessary

to permit the grant of a highway right-of-way made by section 2477, United States Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 932) to become effective as to those portions of the following described lands delineated on a map filed by Marion County, Oregon, as part of its application, Oregon 06752:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

T. 9 S., R. 2 E.,
Sec. 9, SW¼SE¼;
Sec. 11, SW¼SE¼.

The areas described aggregate approximately 80 acres.

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10258, Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 46—SHIPPING

Chapter IV—Federal Maritime Commission

SUBCHAPTER B—REGULATIONS AFFECTING MARITIME CARRIERS AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

[General Order 4, Amdt. 3]

PART 510—LICENSING OF INDEPENDENT OCEAN FREIGHT FORWARDERS

Issuance or Denial of Licenses

On June 19, 1962, the Commission published notice of proposed rule making in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 5773) setting forth a proposed revision to paragraph (c) of § 510.8 of the Commission's General Order No. 4 (46 CFR 510.8(c)). The purpose of the present amendment is to clarify § 510.8(c); to provide for the issuance of a separate license to separately incorporated, even though related, independent ocean freight forwarder firms. It is also the purpose of this revision to require that the shipping public be apprised of all inter-relationships between licensed freight forwarders.

The Commission has carefully considered the comments submitted in response to the notice of proposed rule making and has, pursuant to the authority of sections 43 and 44 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (75 Stat. §§ 522, 523, and 766), adopted the following revision to § 510.8(c) of its General Order No. 4:

(c) Except as provided in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, only one license shall be issued to any person regardless of the number of names under which such person may be doing business.

(1) Each separately incorporated qualified applicant for an independent freight forwarder license may be granted a separate license even though under common control with other independent ocean freight forwarding corporations, if such applicant submits a separate (i) application form FMC-18, (ii) $100.00 application fee as required by § 510.5(b), and (iii) surety bond in the form and amount hereafter to be prescribed.

(2) Each independent ocean freight forwarder authorized to carry on the business of forwarding under the Shipping Act, 1916, shall indicate on its letterhead stationery and on billing invoices the name or names of all such related freight forwarders on or before 180 days after publication of this rule in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Effective date: The rule herein adopted shall become effective 30 days after date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By order of the Commission, September 27, 1962.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10299; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

[26 CFR Part 1]

DEDUCTION FOR INVESTMENT EXPENSES OF MUTUAL FIRE AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANIES

Notice of Hearing on Proposed Regulations

Proposed amendment to the regulations under section 822 of the Code, relating to deduction for investment expenses of mutual fire and casualty insurance companies, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for August 31, 1962 (27 F.R. 8742).

A public hearing on the provisions of these proposed regulations will be held on Tuesday, October 30, 1962, at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t., in Room 3313, Internal Revenue Building, Twelfth and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

Persons who plan to attend the hearing are requested to notify the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Attention: T:P, Washington 25, D.C., by October 26, 1962.

MAURICE LEWIS,
Director, Technical Planning Division, Internal Revenue Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10288; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 861) has been filed by American Petroleum Institute, 1271 Avenue of the Americas, New York 20, New York, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide safe conditions of use for petroleum waxes meeting adequate specifications of purity as a direct food additive in chewing gum, as an adjuvant in the manufacture of confectionery, for the waxing of fruits and vegetables, as an antifrothing agent in the manufacture of sugar, and as a constituent of nonfood articles used in contact with food.

Dated: October 9, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10274; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 938) has been filed by The Dow Chemical Company, Abbott Road Buildings, Midland, Michigan, and Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, Illinois, proposing the amendment of § 121.207 of the food additive regulations to provide for the addition of 0.01 percent of arsanilic acid or sodium arsanilate to zoalene-medicated chicken feeds.

Dated: October 9, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10275; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 906) has been filed by Esso Research and Engineering Company, Post Office Box 172, Linden, New Jersey, proposing the amendment of § 121.2520 *Adhesives* to provide for the use of dihexyl phthalate as a component of food-packaging adhesives.

Dated: October 9, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10276; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 931) has been filed by Fine Organics, Inc., 205 Main Street, Lodi, New Jersey, proposing the amendment of § 121.2509 of the food additive regulations to provide for the safe use of erucamide as a release agent, antislip agent, antiblock agent, and/or antitack agent in polymeric films intended for use in contact with food.

Dated: October 9, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10277; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 935) has been filed by W. R. Grace and Company, 62 Whittemore Avenue, Cambridge 40, Massachusetts, proposing the amendment of §§ 121.2514(b)(3)(xxxi) and 121.2550(b)(5) to provide for the safe use of tri(nonylphenyl)phosphite as a component of resinous and polymeric coatings (§ 121.2514) and closures with sealing gaskets for food containers (§ 121.2550).

Dated: October 9, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10278; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348-(b)(5)), notice is given that petitions (FAP 922, 923, 928) have been filed by Chas. Pfizer and Company, Inc., 235 East Forty-second Street, New York 17, New York, proposing the amendment of § 121.206 of the food additive regulations to provide for the safe use of oleandomycin in chicken and turkey feeds containing oxytetracycline alone or oxytetracycline with zoalene or amprolium. The oleandomycin is to be added to promote growth and improve feed efficiency.

Dated: October 9, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10279; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that petitions (FAP 922, 923, 928) have been filed by Chas. Pfizer and Company, Inc., 235 East Forty-second Street, New York 17, New York, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide for the safe use of oxytetracycline in chicken and turkey feeds, as follows:

TABLE 1—OXYTETRACYCLINE IN CHICKEN AND TURKEY FEED [1]

Principal ingredient	Gm. per ton	Combined with—	Gm. per ton	Limitations	Indications for use
1. Oxytetracycline	50			For chickens and turkeys; not to be fed to laying chickens; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Prevention of chronic respiratory disease (air-sac infection), blue comb (non-specific infectious enteritis, mud fever); prevention of disease from susceptible organisms during periods of stress.
a. Oxytetracycline	50	Amprolium	113	do	Prevention and control of coccidiosis.
b. Oxytetracycline	50	Zoalene	113	do	Do.
c. Oxytetracycline	50	Bacitracin	50–100	For chickens and turkeys; not to be fed to laying chickens; as bacitracin, bacitracin methylene disalicylate, or zinc bacitracin; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Prevention of chronic respiratory disease.
2. Oxytetracycline	50			For turkeys; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Prevention of hexamitiasis.
a. Oxytetracycline	50	Amprolium	113	do	Prevention and control of coccidiosis.
b. Oxytetracycline	50	Zoalene	113	do	Do.
3. Oxytetracycline	50–100			For chickens and turkeys; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Increase hatchability of eggs.
4. Oxytetracycline	100			For chicks and poults; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Prevention of early mortality.
a. Oxytetracycline	100	Amprolium	113	do	Prevention and control of coccidiosis.
b. Oxytetracycline	100	Zoalene	113	do	Do.
5. Oxytetracycline	100			For turkeys; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Treatment of hexamitiasis.
6. Oxytetracycline	100–200			For chickens and turkeys; not to be fed to laying chickens; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Treatment of chronic respiratory disease (air-sac infection), blue comb (nonspecific infectious enteritis, mud fever), infectious sinusitis; prevention of infectious synovitis.
a. Oxytetracycline	100–200	Bacitracin	100	For chickens and turkeys; not to be fed to laying chickens; as bacitracin, bacitracin methylene disalicylate, or zinc bacitracin; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Do.
b. Oxytetracycline	100–200	Amprolium	113	For chickens and turkeys; not to be fed to laying chickens; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Prevention and control of coccidiosis.
c. Oxytetracycline	100–200	Zoalene	113	do	Do.
7. Oxytetracycline	200			For chickens; not to be fed to laying chickens; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Treatment of infectious synovitis; prevention of avian infectious hepatitis and fowl cholera.
a. Oxytetracycline	200	Amprolium	113	do	Prevention and control of coccidiosis.
b. Oxytetracycline	200	Zoalene	113	do	Do.
8. Oxytetracycline	200			For turkeys; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Treatment of infectious synovitis.
a. Oxytetracycline	200	Amprolium	113	do	Prevention and control of coccidiosis.
b. Oxytetracycline	200	Zoalene	113	do	Do.
9. Oxytetracycline	200			For chickens; not to be fed to laying chickens; in low calcium feed containing 0.18 percent to 0.55 percent dietary calcium; not to be fed continuously for more than 5 days; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Treatment of chronic respiratory disease (air-sac infection), blue comb (nonspecific infectious enteritis, mud fever); treatment of fowl cholera and infectious synovitis; prevention of coccidiosis and avian infectious hepatitis.
10. Oxytetracycline.	5–7.5			For chickens and turkeys; as oxytetracycline hydrochloride.	Growth promotion and feed efficiency.
11. Oxytetracycline.	10–50			do	Increased egg production.

[1] Where oxytetracycline is combined with other permitted additives, appropriate limitations and indications for use for each additive shall apply.

Dated: October 9, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10280; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 42]

[Reg. Docket No. 1347; Draft Release No. 62-39A]

AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION AND OPERATION RULES FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AIR CARRIERS, LARGE COMMERCIAL OPERATORS, AND CERTIFICATED ROUTE AIR CARRIERS ENGAGING IN CHARTER FLIGHTS OR OTHER SPECIAL SERVICES

In the notice of proposed rule making on this subject (Draft Release 62-39) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER August 22, 1962 (26 F.R. 8356), an error appears in § 42.396(a) (1) (iii) of the proposed revision of Part 42 of the Civil Air Regulations which changes the original intent of this section.

The words "plus 10 percent" should be corrected to read "plus 15 percent." In addition, the wording of paragraph (a) (1) (iii) needs clarification.

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 405.27), notice is hereby given that § 42.396(a) (1) as proposed in Draft Release 62-39 is hereby changed to read as follows:

§ 42.396 Fuel supply for all operations; airplanes.

(a) *Reciprocating-engine and turbopropeller-powered airplanes.* (1) No airplane shall be dispatched or released for flight unless it carries sufficient fuel, considering the wind and other weather conditions expected, to comply with the following:

(i) To fly to and land at the airport to which it is dispatched or released, and thereafter;

(ii) To fly to and land at the most distant alternate airport designated in the dispatch or flight release, and thereafter;

(iii) To fly for a period of at least 45 minutes at normal cruising consumption, except that;

(iv) If the airplane is dispatched or released from the United States to an airport outside thereof, to an airport within the United States from a place outside thereof, or from any place to an airport within the State of Alaska or Hawaii or the several Territories and possessions of the United States, to fly for a period of at least 30 minutes plus 15 percent of the total time required to fly at normal cruising consumption to the airports listed in subdivisions (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph, or to fly for 90 minutes at normal cruising consumption, whichever is lesser.

In view of the aforementioned change in the proposal, notice is hereby given that the time in which comment will be received on this proposed section is extended to November 15, 1962. Comments should be submitted in duplicate to the Docket Section of the Federal Aviation Agency, Room A–103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C.

(Secs. 313(a), 601–610 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 752, 775–780; 49 U.S.C. 1354, 1421–1430))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 9, 1962.

G. S. MOORE,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10292; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 507]

[Reg. Docket No. 1421]

AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR Part 405), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal to amend Part 507 of the Regulations of the Administrator to include an airworthiness directive requiring installation of an engine oil filter differential pressure warning system on certain Boeing 707/720 and Douglas DC–8 airplanes. Service experience has shown that clogging of the engine main oil filter can occur from carbon particles or other foreign matter generated by the engine being carried into the lubrication system. Complete clogging of the filter will allow foreign matter to by-pass the filter and be carried into the bearing lubrication passage where it can cause clogging of the "last chance" screens at the bearings. When this occurs, serious mechanical damage to the engine can result. In one instance, oil starvation at a mainshaft bearing caused overheating and failure of the mainshaft which resulted in overspeeding of the turbines to the point of failure. This failure caused serious structural damage to the airplane.

Interested persons may participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in duplicate to the Docket Section of the Federal Aviation Agency, Room A–103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. All communications received on or before November 15, 1962, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available in the Docket Section for examination by interested persons at any time. This proposal will not be given further distribution as a draft release.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), by adding the following airworthiness directive:

BOEING AND DOUGLAS. Applies to Boeing Models 707–100B, 707–300B and 720–000B Series aircraft and to Douglas DC–8–50 Series aircraft with Pratt & Whitney JT3D Series engines.

Compliance required within the next 4,000 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD.

Clogging of engine oil filters by foreign matter has caused lubrication system malfunctions which have resulted in engine mechanical failures affecting safety of flight. To prevent such failures, the following is required:

(a) For Pratt & Whitney JT3D Series engines with serial numbers listed in Pratt & Whitney Engine Service Bulletin No. 327 dated January 8, 1962:

(1) Modify the engine oil filter assembly to provide for the installation of a differential pressure switch between the by-pass port and the filter drain port, and provide an additional spring in the by-pass valve to increase the pressure at which by-pass occurs.

(2) Install a pressure switch across the engine main oil system filter, set to be actuated when the differential pressure between the inlet and outlet ports reaches a value of approximately 50 p.s.i. This change requires prior or concurrent incorporation of Pratt & Whitney Engineering Change No. 116970 in accordance with (a)(1).

(b) For Boeing Models 707–100B, 707–300B and 720–000B Series aircraft with serial numbers listed in Boeing Service Bulletin No. 1586 dated April 11, 1962, and Douglas DC–8–50 Series aircraft which have not had this modification incorporated:

(1) Provide a means in the cockpit to give corresponding indication of the actuation of the differential pressure switch on each engine. For the purpose of this requirement, it is satisfactory to connect the differential pressure switch signals into the existing engine low oil pressure warning lights.

(c) When the above modifications in (a) and (b) are accomplished, the engine oil filter inspections prescribed by AD 61–24–1 are no longer required.

(Boeing Service Bulletin No. 1586 dated April 11, 1962, covers this subject for Boeing aircraft. A Douglas service bulletin covering this subject will be available at a later date.)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 9, 1962.

GEORGE C. PRILL,
Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10248; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 507]

[Reg. Docket No. 1422]

AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR Part 405), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal to amend Part 507 of the Regulations of the Administrator to include an airworthiness directive requiring inspection of and specifying a retirement time for wood tail rotor blades on Bell Model 47 Series helicopters.

Interested persons may participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in duplicate to the Docket Section of the Federal Aviation Agency, Room A–103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. All communications received on or before November 15, 1962, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available in the Docket Section for examination by interested persons at any time. This proposal will not be given further distribution as a draft release.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), by adding the following airworthiness directive:

BELL. Applies to all Model 47 Series helicopters equipped with P/N 47–642–020–1 wood tail rotor blades.

Compliance required as indicated.

There have been several failures of wood tail rotor blades resulting from wood deterioration. To preclude further wood blade failures the following must be accomplished:

(a) Within 50 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD:

(1) Remove wood tail rotor blades in accordance with the applicable Bell Maintenance and Overhaul (M&O) Manual.

(2) Remove the fiberglas wrapping from the root end area of blades and remove the fiberglas blade covering from areas underneath the wrapping in accordance with the applicable Bell M&O Manual. Blade covering should be cut by lightly sanding cover.

(3) Inspect root end of blades from root end of blade to 6 inches outboard for:

(i) Elongated bolt holes. Maximum allowable diameter 0.260 inch.

(ii) Decay of wood. Detection of decay can be made visually by noting discoloration of the basic material. (Generally decay will start as a grayish discoloration and deepens to a brown color during the later stages.)

(iii) Cracks in the stainless steel leading edge strip and grip plates using at least a 5-power magnifying glass.

(4) Blades found with bolt hole diameters exceeding 0.260 inch, with decay, or with any cracks, shall be removed from service prior to further flight.

(5) Blades without defects may be returned to service after:

(i) Recovering the blade root area in accordance with patching procedures given in the applicable Bell M&O Manual; and

(ii) Rewrapping the root area with two pieces of MIL–P–8013 No. 181 fiberglas cloth 2 x 27 inches in accordance with Bell Service Bulletin No. 75 dated September 17, 1951.

(b) Blades returned to service after compliance with (a) shall be retired from service prior to the accumulation of 200 hours' time in service since reinstallation in accordance with (a).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 9, 1962.

GEORGE C. PRILL,
Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10249; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[18 CFR Part 11]

[Docket No. R-221]

COMPUTATION OF ANNUAL CHARGES FOR HEADWATER BENEFITS TO OWNERS OF NONFEDERAL HYDROELECTRIC PROJECTS

Notice of Extension of Time

OCTOBER 8, 1962.

Upon consideration of several requests filed by various persons for extension of the time within which to submit data, information, comments, and suggestions relating to the subject of the notice of proposed rule making issued August 24, 1962, in the above-designated matter;

Notice is hereby given that the time within which all parties may submit data, information, comments, and suggestions relative to the above-designated matter is hereby extended from October 16, 1962, to and including November 30, 1962.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10253; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[417.329]

CERTAIN DEUTERATED COMPOUNDS

Tariff Classification

OCTOBER 11, 1962.

There appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER of October 11, 1961, Vol. 26, No. 196, a notice of proposed tariff classification of deuterated compounds.

It is expected that pursuant to the Tariff Classification Act of 1962, Public Law 87-456, the proposed tariff schedules of the United States will be proclaimed in the near future. Accordingly, the Bureau will take no further action in this matter and the compounds will continue to be classifiable as radioactive substitutes under paragraph 1749, Tariff Act of 1930, pending the proclamation of the proposed tariff schedules. Subsequent to the proclamation of the proposed schedules, the compounds will be classifiable in accordance with those schedules.

[SEAL] PHILIP NICHOLS, JR.,
Commissioner of Customs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10294; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-43]

U.S. NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Utilization Facility License

Please take notice that the Atomic Energy Commission has issued Amendment No. 2, set forth below, to Facility License No. R-11. The license authorizes the U.S. Naval Postgraduate School ("the licensee") to operate a Model AGN-201 nuclear reactor ("the reactor") located on the licensee's campus in Monterey California. The amendment authorizes the licensee to remove, repair, and reinstall, the core tank of the reactor as described in the licensee's submissions to the Commission dated September 13, 1962, and September 17, 1962 (together "the application").

The Commission has found that:

(1) Conduct of the proposed activities in accordance with the license as amended will not present an undue hazard to the health and safety of the public and will not be inimical to the common defense and security;

(2) The application complies with the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations set forth in Title 10, Chapter I, CFR;

(3) Prior public notice of proposed issuance of this amendment is not necessary in the public interest since conduct of the proposed activities in accordance with the license, as amended, does not present any hazards to the health and safety of the public significantly different from those considered and evaluated in connection with the previously approved operation.

Within fifteen days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the licensee may file a request for a hearing, and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and petitions to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the provisions of the Commission's rules of practice, (10 CFR 2). If a request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene is filed within the time prescribed in this notice, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this amendment see Docket No. 50-43 which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 9th day of October 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ROBERT H. BRYAN,
Chief, Research and Power Reactor Safety Branch, Division of Licensing and Regulation.

[License No. R-11; Amdt. No. 2]

In addition to the activities previously authorized by the Commission in License No. R-11, as amended, U.S. Naval Postgraduate School is authorized to remove, repair and reinstall the core tank of its Model AGN-201 reactor located on its campus in Monterey, California.

The activities shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures and subject to the limitations in License No. R-11, as amended, and in the U.S. Naval Portgraduate School's submissions to the Commission dated September 13, 1962, and September 17, 1962.

This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

Date of issuance: October 9, 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ROBERT H. BRYAN,
Chief, Research and Power Reactor Safety Branch, Division of Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10245; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 13971; Order No. E-18895]

SAN FRANCISCO & OAKLAND HELICOPTER AIRLINES, INC.

Order To Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 10th day of October 1962.

San Francisco & Oakland Helicopter Airlines, Inc. (SFO), filed a petition on August 24, 1962, requesting the Board to establish a temporary service mail rate of $2.58 per ton-mile as a fair and reasonable rate of compensation for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith, by SFO; and requesting, in the usual form, such other and further relief as the Board may deem fitting and proper. The Postmaster General filed an answer to SFO's petition on September 5, 1962, stating that he did not support the petition insofar as it requested the Board to establish a temporary rate of compensation; and that in the event the Board should prescribe a fair and reasonable final rate of compensation not in excess of the service mail rate presently established for helicopter mail transportation in Los Angeles, Chicago, and New York, the Post Office would utilize the services of SFO on an "as needed basis." In view of the position of the Postmaster General, the Board has proceeded to consider establishing a final service mail rate.[1]

By Order E-18583 of July 12, 1962, the Board in Docket 13407 granted SFO an exemption from Title IV of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and Part 298 of the Board's Economic Regulations, insofar as such provisions would otherwise prevent the carrier from transporting mail solely on a service mail rate to be paid entirely by the Postmaster General. In its instant petition, SFO stated that the terms of its exemption authority to carry mail on a nonsubsidized basis, which limit SFO's mail operations to those pairs of points on its system between which no scheduled air carrier is certificated, are acceptable to it. While the Postmaster General has stated that the Post Office Department will use SFO's services on an "as needed basis" alone,[2] the representations of contemplated use are considered sufficient to justify the establishment of a final service mail rate.

Upon consideration of SFO's petition, the answer of the Postmaster General and matters officially noticed, the Board proposes to issue an order to include the following findings and conclusions:

1. SFO's operations are generally comparable to those of the certificated helicopter operators in Los Angeles (Los Angeles Airways, Inc.), Chicago (Chicago Helicopter Airways, Inc.) and New York (New York Airways, Inc.).

2. The interairport and downtown-airport routes SFO flies are comparable in their short-haul nature, and similar in

[1] By letter dated September 11, 1962, SFO advises that it acquiesces in the suggestion of the Postmaster General that the service mail rate requested be made final, rather than temporary, in the Board's discretion.

[2] The Post Office Department has also advised informally that October 13, 1962, would be an appropriate date upon which mail service by SFO could be commenced.

stage length, to those of the three certificated helicopter carriers.

3. SFO's schedule frequencies, services offered, and fares charged are likewise similar to those of the Los Angeles, Chicago, and New York certificated helicopter operators.

4. SFO's services and operating costs should not materially differ from the certificated helicopter operators in Los Angeles, Chicago, and New York.

5. A service mail rate of $2.58 per ton-mile has been and continues to be applicable to the three certificated helicopter operators as the fair and reasonable final rate of compensation paid them for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith over their respective systems.[3]

6. A final service mail rate at the same level as that established for the certificated helicopter carriers is fair and reasonable for SFO.

7. The fair and reasonable final service mail rate to be paid SFO pursuant to section 406 of the Act, effective commencing October 13, 1962, is $2.58 per ton-mile for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof,

It is ordered:

A. That San Francisco & Oakland Helicopter Airlines, Inc. and the Postmaster General are each directed to show cause why the Board should not adopt the provisional findings and conclusions stated above, and fix and determine a rate of $2.58 per ton-mile as the fair and reasonable final rate of compensation to be paid San Francisco & Oakland Helicopter Airlines, Inc. for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith over its system on and after October 13, 1962, under the terms of the present exemption authority contained in Order E–18583 or any other exemption authority granted hereafter.

B. That the aforesaid rate established under section 406 of the Act is a service mail rate payable in its entirety by the Postmaster General.

C. That all further procedures herein shall be in accordance with the rules of practice (14 CFR Part 302); and if there is any objection to the rate or to the other findings and conclusions proposed herein, notice thereof shall be filed within 10 days, and, if notice is filed, written answers and supporting documents shall be filed within 30 days, after the date of service of this order.

D. That if notice of objection is not filed within 10 days, or if notice is filed and answer is not filed within 30 days, after service of this order, all persons shall be deemed to have waived the right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of a final decision by the Board, and the Board may enter an order incorporating the findings and conclusions proposed herein and fixing and determining the final rate specified herein.

[3] Appendix No. 1, E–7721, September 16, 1953.

E. That if answer is filed, the issues involved in determining the fair and reasonable final rate shall be limited to those specifically raised by the answer, except insofar as other issues are raised in accordance with Rule 307 of the rules of practice (14 CFR 302.307).

F. That this order be served upon San Francisco & Oakland Helicopter Airlines, Inc. and the Postmaster General.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10297; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket 5395 etc.]

SOUTHERN ROCKY MOUNTAIN AREA LOCAL SERVICE CASE

Notice of Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that oral argument in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be heard on November 14, 1962, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., Room 1027, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW., Washington, D.C., before the Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., October 10, 1962.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10296; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket 13674]

NORTH CENTRAL AIRLINES, INC., "USE IT-OR LOSE IT" INVESTIGATION OF TRANSBORDER ROUTE 86 F

Notice of Reassignment of Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding, heretofore assigned to be held in Duluth, Minnesota, is reassigned and will be held on October 16, 1962, at 10 a.m. local time, in Room 803, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before the undersigned hearing examiner.

Dated at Washington, D.C., October 11, 1962.

[SEAL] BARRON FREDERICKS,
Hearing Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10341; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 14730; FCC 62M–1344]

KIMBLE COMMUNICATIONS

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of W. A. Henley, d/b as Kimble Communications, Docket No. 14730, File Nos. 2397/2398–C1–P–62; for construction permits to establish stations in the Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service near Kerrville, and at Midway, Texas.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the informal request of the applicant for a continuance of the date of the hearing presently scheduled to commence on October 19, 1962, and the statement of the applicant that counsel for the Commission has consented to such continuance;

It is ordered, This 9th day of October 1962, That the hearing presently scheduled to commence on October 19, 1962, is continued to October 22, 1962, commencing at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C.

Released: October 11, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10306; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14797]

HERBERT JEFFREY

Order To Show Cause

In the matter of Herbert Jeffrey; 8809 Evansview Drive, Los Angeles, California, Docket No. 14797; order to show cause why there should not be revoked the License for Radio Station 11Q0687 in the Citizens Radio Service.

The Commission, by the Chief, Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau, under delegated authority, having under consideration the matter of certain alleged violations of the Commission's rules in connection with the operation of the above-captioned station;

It appearing that, pursuant to § 1.76 of the Commission's rules, written notice of violation of the Commission's rules was served upon the above-named licensee as follows:

Official Notice of Violation mailed on February 8, 1962, alleging that on January 6, 1962, Citizens Radio Station 11Q0687 was observed in violation of § 19.61(a) of the Commission's rules, in that the radio communications transmitted were not purposeful or substantive to the licensee's business or personal needs.

It further appearing that, the above-named licensee, received said Official Notice but did not make satisfactory reply thereto, whereupon the Commission, by letter dated April 6, 1962, and sent by Certified Mail—Return Receipt Requested (Cert. #091488), brought this matter to the attention of the licensee and requested that such licensee respond to the Commission's letter within fifteen days from the date of its receipt stating the measures which had been taken, or were being taken, in order to bring the operation of the radio station into compliance with the Commission's Rules, and warning the licensee that failure to respond to such letter might result in the institution of proceedings for the revocation of the radio station license; and

It further appearing that receipt of the Commission's letter was acknowledged by the signature of the licensee on

April 9, 1962, to a Post Office Department return receipt; and

It further appearing that, although more than fifteen days have elapsed since the licensee's receipt of the Commission's letter, no response was made thereto; and

It further appearing that, in view of the foregoing, the licensee has repeatedly violated § 1.76 of the Commission's rules;

It is ordered, This 8th day of October 1962, pursuant to section 312 (a) (4) and (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.291(b)(8) of the Commission's statement of delegations of authority, that the said licensee show cause why the license for the above-captioned Radio Station should not be revoked, and appear and give evidence in respect thereto at a hearing to be held at a time and place to be specified by subsequent order; and

It is further ordered, That the Secretary send a copy of this Order by Certified Mail—Return Receipt Requested to the said licensee.

Released: October 11, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10307; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14755-14757; FCC 62M-1330]

JUPITER ASSOCIATES, INC., ET AL.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Jupiter Associates, Inc., Matawan, New Jersey, Docket No. 14755, File No. BP-14178; William S. Halpern and Louis N. Seltzer, d/b as Somerset County Broadcasting Company, Somerville, New Jersey, Docket No. 14756, File No. BP-14234; Radio Elizabeth, Inc., Elizabeth, New Jersey, Docket No. 14757, File No. BP-14812; for construction permits.

Pursuant to a prehearing conference held this date: *It is ordered,* This 8th day of October 1962, that the hearing herein now scheduled for November 15, 1962, be and the same is hereby rescheduled for December 17, 1962, 10:00 a.m., in the Commission's Offices, Washington, D.C.

Released: October 9, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10308; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14380; FCC 62M-1338]

KSAY BROADCASTING CO.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of Grant R. Wrathall and Taft R. Wrathall as Trustee for Grant R. Wrathall, Jr., Charlotte Wrathall, Lawrence Wrathall, and Loretta Wrathall, d/b as KSAY Broadcasting Company, San Francisco, California, Docket No. 14380, File No. BR-3528; for renewal of license of Standard Broadcast Station KSAY.

It is ordered, This 8th day of October 1962, on the Hearing Examiner's own motion, that the hearing in this matter now scheduled for October 22, 1962, be postponed indefinitely.

Released: October 9, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10309; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14759, 14760; FCC 62M-1329]

LEVY COUNTY BROADCASTING CO. AND THOMAS COUNTY BROADCASTING CO., INC. (WKTG)

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Levy County Broadcasting Company, Williston, Florida, Docket No. 14759, File No. BP-13981; Thomas County Broadcasting Company, Inc. (WKTG), Thomasville, Georgia, Docket No. 14760, File No. BP-14988; for construction permits.

As a result of agreements reached upon the record of a prehearing conference held this date in the above-entitled matter: *It is ordered,* This 8th day of October 1962, that:

1. All exhibits shall be exchanged on or before November 8, 1962.

2. Notification of witnesses shall be made on or before November 20, 1962, and

3. The hearing now scheduled for November 1, 1962, is rescheduled to commence at 10:00 a.m., November 26, 1962, in the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.

Released: October 9, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10310; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13067, 13068; FCC 62M-1345]

NEWTON BROADCASTING CO. AND TRANSCRIPT PRESS, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Charles A. Bell, George J. Helmer, III, Wayne H. Lewis and Edward Bleier, d/b as Newton Broadcasting Company, Newton, Massachusetts, Docket No. 13067, File No. BP-12884; Transcript Press, Inc., Dedham, Massachusetts, Docket No. 13068, File No. BP-12901; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration "Petition for Extension Change in Hearing Date," filed by Newton Broadcasting Company on October 9, 1962;

It appearing that good cause exists for the requested extension and that all parties have consented to the requested extension;

It is ordered, This 10th day of October 1962, that the petition is granted, and the hearing date now scheduled for December 3, 1962, is extended to December 10, 1962.

Released: October 11, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10311; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14639, 14640; FCC 62M-1343]

OLNEY BROADCASTING CO. AND JAMES R. WILLIAMS

Order Re Procedural Dates

In re applications of Harwell V. Shepard tr/as Olney Broadcasting Company, Olney, Texas, Docket No. 14639, File No. BP-10494; James R. Williams, Anadarko, Oklahoma, Docket No. 14640, File No. BP-13635; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration motion filed October 9, 1962, on behalf of Harwell V. Shepard, tr/as Olney Broadcasting Company, requesting a change of certain procedural dates herein;

It appearing that good cause exists; that there is no objection to the motion and the other parties to the proceeding have consented to a waiver of the provisions of § 1.46(a) of the Commission's rules to permit immediate consideration of the instant pleading;

Accordingly, it is ordered, This 10th day of October 1962, that the motion is granted and the following procedural dates are hereby established:

Preliminary exchange engineering exhibits: November 2, 1962.
Informal engineering conference: November 8, 1962.
Final exchange all engineering and non-engineering exhibits: November 16, 1962.
Notification of witnesses for cross-examination: November 23, 1962.

Released: October 11, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10312; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 12315, 12316; FCC 62M-1342]

SHEFFIELD BROADCASTING CO. AND J. B. FALT, JR.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of Iralee W. Benns, tr/as Sheffield Broadcasting Co., Sheffield, Alabama, Docket No. 12315, File No. BP-11130; J. B. Falt, Jr., Sheffield, Alabama, Docket No. 12316, File No. BP-11559; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the evidentiary hearing herein now scheduled for October 15, 1962;

It appearing that by communication dated October 5, 1962, counsel for Iralee W. Benns, tr/as Sheffield Broadcasting Co., advised the Commission's Secretary that Mrs. Benns would file a petition for

dismissal of her application in the near future;

It further appearing that said petition for dismissal, as of this date, has not been filed;

It further appearing that pursuant to informal discussion between counsel for the Commission's Broadcast Bureau and counsel for J. B. Falt, Jr., the other applicant herein, it was concluded it would be appropriate to reschedule the hearing herein to a subsequent date in order to conserve the time of all concerned in the hearing;

Accordingly, it is ordered, This 10th day of October 1962, that the hearing herein now scheduled for October 15, 1962, be and the same is hereby rescheduled for November 15, 1962, 10:00 a.m. in the Commission's Offices, Washington, D.C.

Released: October 11, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10313; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14632-14634; FCC 62M-1327]

TUSCARAWAS BROADCASTING CO. ET AL.

Order Continuing Prehearing Conference

In re applications of The Tuscarawas Broadcasting Company, Uhrichsville, Ohio, Docket No. 14632, File No. BP-13896; The Niles Broadcasting Company, Niles, Ohio, Docket No. 14633, File No. BP-13993; Punxsutawney Broadcasting Company (WPME), Punxsutawney, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 14634, File No. BP-14022; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a pleading filed September 24, 1962, on behalf of The Niles Broadcasting Company requesting that the date for the exchange of rebuttal engineering, additional engineering and lay exhibits be extended from October 15, 1962, to October 22, 1962, and that the informal engineering conference scheduled for the week of October 22, 1962, be rescheduled for the week of October 29, 1962; and

It appearing that the reason for the requested extensions of time is a conflict in the hearing schedule of counsel for movant; and

It further appearing that counsel for the other parties have no objections to the granting of the pleading and good cause for the requested extensions of time having been shown;

It is ordered, This the 5th day of October 1962, that the pleading for an extension of exchange dates is granted and the date for the exchange of rebuttal engineering, additional engineering and lay exhibits is extended from October 15, 1962, to October 22, 1962, and the informal engineering conference scheduled for the week of October 22, 1962, is rescheduled for the week of October 29, 1962;

It is further ordered, That the hearing will begin on November 5, 1962 as presently scheduled.

Released: October 9, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10314; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14754; FCC 62M-1348]

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH CO.

Order Continuing Hearing

In the matter of proposed new and increased rates of the Western Union Telegraph Company for telegraph messages of tieline customers, Docket No. 14754.

The Western Union Telegraph Company having filed a motion on October 10, 1962, requesting indefinite continuance of the prehearing conference presently scheduled for October 11, 1962, and of the hearing presently scheduled for October 15, 1962, upon the representation that there is pending an appropriate proposal for settlement of the issues in this proceeding;

It is ordered, This 10th day of October 1962, that the prehearing conference and hearing, respectively, presently scheduled are postponed indefinitely subject to such further action as may hereafter be appropriate on application by any interested party, by the Hearing Examiner, or by the Commission.

Released: October 11, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10315; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14796]

ALVIN WILLIS ET AL.

Order To Show Cause

In the matter of Alvin Willis and Robert Garrison d/b as Willis & Garrison, 1400 Albany Street, Brunswick, Georgia, Docket No. 14796; order to show cause why there should not be revoked the license for Radio Station 6Q1691 in the Citizens Radio Service.

The Commission, by the Chief, Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau, under delegated authority, having under consideration the matter of certain alleged violations of the Commission's rules in connection with the operation of the above-captioned station;

It appearing that, pursuant to § 1.76 of the Commission's rules, written notice of violation of the Commission's rules was served upon the above-named licensee as follows:

Official Notice of Violation mailed on May 11, 1962, alleging that on May 2, 1962, Citizens Radio Station 6Q1691 was observed in violation of the Commission's rules § 19.72 (a)—failure to post the station license or a photocopy thereof at the location of the fixed transmitter; § 19.72(a)—properly executed transmitter identification tags (F.C.C. Form 452-C) or a plate metal or other durable substance or a photocopy thereof was not posted to the units bearing serial numbers 26932 and 26933,

It further appearing that, the above-named licensee, received said Official Notice but did not make satisfactory reply thereto, whereupon the Commission, by letter dated June 11, 1962, and sent by Certified Mail—Return Receipt Requested (Cert. #567790), brought this matter to the attention of the licensee and requested that such licensee respond to the Commission's letter within fifteen days from the date of its receipt stating the measures which had been taken, or were being taken, in order to bring the operation of the radio station into compliance with the Commission's rules, and warning the licensee that failure to respond to such letter might result in the institution of proceedings for the revocation of the radio station license; and

It further appearing that receipt of the Commission's letter was acknowledged by the signature of the licensee Robert Garrison on June 12, 1962, to a Post Office Department return receipt; and

It further appearing that, although more than fifteen days have elapsed since the licensee's receipt of the Commission's letter, no response was made thereto; and

It further appearing that, in view of the foregoing, the licensee has repeatedly violated § 1.76 of the Commission's rules;

It is ordered, This 8th day of October 1962, pursuant to section 312 (a) (4) and (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.291(b)(8) of the Commission's Statement of Delegations of Authority, that the said licensee show cause why the license for the above-captioned Radio Station should not be revoked, and appear and give evidence in respect thereto at a hearing to be held at a time and place to be specified by subsequent order; and

It is further ordered, That the Secretary send a copy of this Order by Certified Mail—Return Receipt Requested to the said licensee.

Released: October 11, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10316; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP62-248; CP62-249]

KANSAS-NEBRASKA NATURAL GAS CO., INC.

Notice of Applications and Date of Hearing

OCTOBER 8, 1962.

Take notice that on April 26, 1962, as supplemented on July 30, 1962, Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company (Ap-

plicant), Hastings, Nebraska, filed in Docket No. CP62–248 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction during a 12-month period and the operation of field facilities to enable Applicant to take into its certificated main pipeline system natural gas which will be purchased from producers thereof, all as more fully set forth in said application, as supplemented, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The purpose of the "budget-type" application in Docket No. CP62–248 is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in contracting for and connecting to its pipeline system new supplies of natural gas in various producing areas generally co-extensive with said system.

The total cost of the facilities proposed in Docket No. CP62–248, it is stated, will not exceed $1,200,000, and no single project will exceed a cost of $300,000. The application further states that the proposed facilities will be financed from current working capital.

Take further notice that on April 26, 1962, Applicant filed in Docket No. CP62–249 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction during a 24-month period and the operation of facilities to render direct service to new industrial customers, all as more fully set forth in said application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In said Docket No. CP62–249 Applicant seeks authorization for the following:

(1) Installation and operation of the necessary connecting pipeline and measuring facilities to serve on an interruptible basis not more than six new agricultural processing plants in the vicinity of Applicant's system at a total cost not exceeding a maximum of $100,000, with the cost of facilities to serve any single plant not to exceed $25,000, and provided that the total annual sales to all such plants shall not exceed a maximum of 750,000 Mcf of gas, with the annual sales to any single plant not to exceed 200,000 Mcf of gas;

(2) Installation and operation of the necessary connecting pipeline and measuring facilities to serve not more than four new miscellaneous industrial customers in the vicinity of Applicant's system on an interruptible basis at a total cost not exceeding a maximum of $75,000, with the cost of facilities to serve any single customer not to exceed $25,000, and provided that the total annual sales to all such customers shall not exceed a maximum of 500,000 Mcf of gas, with annual sales to any single customer not to exceed 200,000 Mcf of gas; and

(3) Installation and operation of the necessary connecting pipeline and measuring facilities to serve on an interruptible basis not more than three new electric generation customers in the vicinity of Applicant's system at a total cost not exceeding a maximum of $75,000, with the cost of facilities to serve any single plant not to exceed $30,000, and provided that the total annual sales to all such plants shall not exceed a maximum of 500,000 Mcf of gas, with annual sales to any single plant not to exceed 200,000 Mcf of gas. The gas would be used only for internal combustion engine driven generators and not for boiler fuel.

The facilities in Docket No. CP62–249 will be financed from current working capital.

The applications in the subject dockets are not interdependent.

These matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on November 15, 1962, at 9:30 a.m. e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before November 5, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10254; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. G–14943 etc.]

MINERAL RESOURCES, INC., ET AL.

Findings and Order Issuing Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, Terminating Certificate Authorizations, Redesignating Related FPC Gas Rate Filings, Substituting Respondent in and Redesignating Rate Proceeding, Accepting Successor's Agreement and Undertaking and Making Rate Effective Thereunder, Dismissing Certificate Applications and Cancelling Docket Numbers

OCTOBER 9, 1962.

In the matter of Mineral Resources, Inc., et al. (Successor to Chesapeake Industries, Inc., formerly Bass & Vessels, et al.), Docket Nos. G–14943, G–5192, G–5232; Producing Properties, Inc. (Operator), et al. (Successor to Christiana Oil Corporation (Operator), et al., formerly Southwestern Exploration Company (Operator), et al.), Docket Nos. G–16146, G–6406, G–6407, G–10726, G–10745, G–10762, G–12532; Lawrence W. Curtin, et al. (Successor to George W. Walker, et al., Assignees of Neil J. Sharp and Mabel I. Sharp), Docket Nos. CI61–103,[1] G–4414; J. M. Zachary, et al. (Successor to Maperiza Oil Company, et al., formerly Makin Oil Company, et al.), Docket Nos. CI61–114, G–6551, G–9401, G–12644; Aztec Oil & Gas Company (Successor to Raymond Oil Company, Inc. (Operator), et al.), Docket Nos. CI61–924, G–18283; Coastal States Gas Producing Company (Successor to Roy H. Bettis and G. Frederick Shepherd), Docket Nos. CI61–1008, CI61–1009, CI61–1010, CI61–1011, G–10120, G–17091, G–18646; Paul D. Little (Successor to Dalport Oil Corporation, et al.), Docket Nos. CI62–690, G–8887; Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company (Successor to Woodson Oil Company), Docket Nos. CI62–742, RI60–455, G–18954.

Each of the above Applicants has filed an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the continuation of a sale or sales of natural gas in interstate commerce previously authorized to or applied for by a predecessor in interest. These sales, as represented in the respective applications, and any amendments and/or supplements thereto, are proposed to be continued by the assignee Applicants in accordance with the terms of the respective original basic contracts (and any amendments and/or supplements thereto) which have been accepted for filing and are subject to appropriate redesignation.

Producing Properties, Inc. (Operator), et al. proposes in Docket No. G–16146 to continue sales covered by the six docket numbers indicated in the caption hereof. It appears that no certificate authorization has ever been granted to the predecessor Applicant, Southwestern Exploration Company (Operator), et al. in Docket No. G–10762 and that Producing Properties may properly be substituted for Southwestern Exploration Company as Applicant for the authorization sought in said Docket No. G–10762. It further appears that said application in Docket No. G–10762 may be treated as an amendment enlarging the authorization sought by Producing Properties in its application in Docket No. G–16146 and the designation Docket No. G–10762 may be cancelled.

Aztec Oil & Gas Company proposes in Docket No. CI61–924 to continue a sale previously authorized to Raymond Oil Company, Inc., in Docket No. G–18283. On December 12, 1960, Raymond filed an abandonment application in Docket No. CI61–923, citing as reason therefor complete assignment of its interest to Aztec. Such filing was accepted and is pending as a petition to vacate the certificate issued in Docket No. G–18283 and the Docket No. CI61–923 designation was cancelled.

Coastal States Gas Producing Company proposes in Docket No. CI61–1009

[1] Joint 7(b) 7(c) filing.

to continue a sale covered by Roy H. Bettis, et al.'s pending Docket No. G–18645. Coastal has been substituted for Bettis, et al., in Docket No. G–18645, consequently, the application in Docket No. CI61–1009 should be dismissed as moot.

Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company (Assignee of The Prudential Company of America) proposes in Docket No. CI62–742 to continue a sale authorized to Woodson Oil Company (Assignor of Prudential) in Docket No. G–18954. On January 2, 1962, Woodson filed a motion for recission of the certificate issued in Docket No. G–18954, citing as reason therefor its complete assignment of interest to Prudential.

On January 2, 1962, Texas Pacific and Woodson filed a joint motion to substitute Texas Pacific in lieu of Woodson as Respondent in the pending rate proceeding in Docket No. RI60–455. Said joint motion was filed pursuant to the acquisition on November 8, 1961, by Texas Pacific of Woodson's working interest in the producing properties from which sales of natural gas were being made under Woodson's filed FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1, as supplemented, which rate schedule is involved in Docket No. RI60–455.

Concurrently with the aforesaid joint motion, Texas Pacific filed a motion to place in effect as of January 1, 1962, the rate suspended until December 2, 1960, in that proceeding and also submitted its agreement and undertaking to assure the refunding of any and all charges which may be determined to be excessive in said proceeding. Under the Commission's regulations, a suspended rate may be placed in effect only upon the expiration of the suspension period or upon the date of filing of a motion therefor, whichever is later. In this case the date of January 2, 1962, therefore shall be the effective date.

Pursuant to due notice, a public hearing was held in Washington, D.C., on September 25, 1962, respecting the matters involved in and the issues presented by the applications herein. No petition to intervene or protest to the granting of any of said applications or motions in this consolidated proceeding has been received. Staff counsel moved orally at the hearing that the intermediate decision procedure be omitted and that the Commission render a decision herein pursuant to § 1.30(c) (1) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

The Commission finds:

(1) The respective Applicants herein, all independent producers of natural gas, by reason of the continuation of the sale or sales of natural gas hereinafter authorized, all as hereinbefore described and as more fully described in the respective applications herein, will be engaged in the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale for ultimate public consumption, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and each will be, therefore, a "natural-gas company" within the meaning of the Natural Gas Act.

(2) The sales of natural gas by the respective Applicants herein, together with the operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary therefor, are subject to the requirements of subsections (c) and (e) of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

(3) Said sales of natural gas by the respective Applicants herein, together with the operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary therefor, are required by the public convenience and necessity and certificates therefor should be issued as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

(4) The respective Applicants are able and willing properly to do the acts and to perform the services proposed and to conform to the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the requirements, rules and regulations of the Commission thereunder.

(5) It is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act to terminate as of the date of issuance of this order the certificates of public convenience and necessity heretofore issued to the predecessors of the Applicants to whom certificate authorization to continue the services covered by said predecessor certificates is granted hereinafter.

(6) The pending certificate applications in Docket Nos. G–10762 and CI61–1009 should be dismissed for the reasons hereinbefore stated.

(7) The certificate authorizations heretofore issued by the Commission in the following dockets should be terminated as of the date on which this order issues: Docket Nos. G–4414, G–5192, G–5232, G–6406, G–6407, G–6551, G–8887, G–9401, G–10120, G–10726, G–10745, G–12532, G–12644, G–17091, G–18283, G–18646, and G–18954.

(8) Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company should be substituted in lieu of Woodson Oil Company as Respondent in the pending rate proceeding in Docket No. RI60–455 and said proceeding should be redesignated accordingly.

(9) The increased rate heretofore suspended in the proceeding in Docket No. RI60–455 should be made effective, subject to refund, as of January 2, 1962, and the agreement and undertaking submitted by Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company submitted on January 2, 1962, should be accepted, effective as of that date.

(10) The related FPC Gas Rate Schedules should be redesignated, and certain notices of succession should be accepted and redesignated, as hereinafter ordered.

(11) A request by staff counsel during the public hearing for omission of the intermediate decision procedure herein was unopposed by any party of record and, not having been denied by the Commission, is granted pursuant to § 1.30 (c) (1) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

The Commission orders:

(A) Certificates of public convenience and necessity be and the same are hereby issued, upon the terms and conditions of this order, authorizing the continuation of the sales by the respective Applicants herein, of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale, together with the continued operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary for such sales, all as hereinbefore described and as more fully described in the respective applications, amendments, supplements and exhibits in this proceeding.

(B) The certificates granted in paragraph (A) above are not transferable and shall be effective only so long as Applicants continue the acts or operations hereby authorized in accordance with the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the applicable rules, regulations and orders of the Commission.

(C) The grant of the certificates issued in paragraph (A) above shall not be construed as a waiver of the requirements of section 4 of the Natural Gas Act or of Parts 154 or 157 of the Commission's regulations thereunder and is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against the respective Applicants. Further, our action in this proceeding shall not foreclose nor prejudice any future proceedings or objections relating to the operation of any price or related provisions in the gas purchase contracts herein involved. Nor shall the grant of the certificates aforesaid for service to the particular customers involved imply approval of all of the terms of the respective contracts, particularly as to the cessation of service upon termination of said contracts as provided by section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act. Nor shall the grant of the certificates aforesaid be construed to preclude the imposition of any sanctions pursuant to the provisions of the Natural Gas Act for the unauthorized commencement of any sales of natural gas subject to said certificates.

(D) The pending certificate applications in Docket Nos. G–10762 and CI61–1009 be and the same are hereby dismissed and said docket numbers cancelled.

(E) The certificate authorizations heretofore issued by the Commission in the following dockets be and the same are hereby terminated as of the date on which this order issues and said docket numbers cancelled:

Docket No. G–4414 (order issued February 21, 1957, Docket Nos. G–2579, et al.).

Docket No. G–5192 (order issued September 24, 1956, Docket Nos. G–4102, et al.).

Docket No. G–5232 (order issued October 24, 1956, Docket Nos. G–2603, et al.).

Docket Nos. G–6406 and G–6407 (order issued May 28, 1956, Docket Nos. G–3275, et el.).

Docket No. G–6551 (order issued April 27, 1956, Docket Nos. G–6030, et al.).

Docket No. G–8887 (order issued May 21, 1956, Docket Nos. G–8512, et al.).

Docket No. G–9401 (order issued February 15, 1956, Docket Nos. G–6580, et al.).

Docket No. G–10120 (order issued July 20, 1956, Docket Nos. 10101, et al.).

Docket Nos. G–10726 and G–10745 (order issued March 22, 1957, Docket Nos. G–10551, et al.).

Docket No. G–12532 (order issued November 26, 1957, Docket Nos. G–9692, et al.).

Docket No. G–12644 (order issued February 10, 1958, Docket Nos. G–3031, et al.).

Docket No. G–17091 (order issued December 1, 1959, Docket Nos. G–14829, et al.).

Docket No. G–18283 (order issued October 31, 1960, Docket Nos. G–13831, et al.).

Docket No. G–18646 (order issued November 22, 1960, Docket Nos. G–18078, et al. and reaffirmed by order issued May 11, 1961).

Docket No. G–18954 (order issued June 27, 1961, Docket Nos. G–11789, et al.).

(F) Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company be and the same is hereby substituted in lieu of Woodson Oil Company as Respondent in the rate suspension proceeding in Docket No. RI60–455 and said proceeding is hereby redesignated accordingly.

(G) The rate, charge and classification set forth in Supplement No. 3 to Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 53 (see paragraph (I) below) is hereby made effective, subject to refund, as of January 2, 1962. The effective rate set forth in said Supplement No. 3 to Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 58 shall be charged and collected commencing January 2, 1962, subject to any future orders of the Commission in Docket No. RI60–455.

(H) The related agreement and undertaking of Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company filed on January 2, 1962, in Docket No. RI60–455, to comply with the refunding and reporting provisions of § 154.102 of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act, is hereby accepted for filing effective as of January 2, 1962, and such agreement and undertaking shall remain in full force and effect until discharged by the Commission.

(I) The related FPC Gas Rate Schedules and notices of succession listed below are hereby accepted for filing and redesignated as shown:

Predecessor document and designation	Rate schedule designation	
	Coastal States Gas Producing Co.—(Docket No. CI61–1008), FPC Gas Rate Schedule No.:	*Supplement No.*
Roy H. Bettis, et al.—	39	
(Docket No. G–10120):	39	1
FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 2	39	
Assignment, Mar. 1, 1960	(Docket No. G–18645):	
Notice of Succession, Jan. 6, 1961	40	
(Docket No. G–18645):	40	1
FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 7	40	2
Supplement No. 1	40	
Assignment, Mar. 1, 1960	(Docket No. CI61–1010):	
Notice of Succession, Jan. 6, 1961	41	
(Docket No. G–17901):	41	1
FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 4	41	2
Supplement No. 1	41	
Assignment, Mar. 1, 1960	(Docket No. CI61–1011):	
Notice of Succession, Jan. 6, 1961	42	
(Docket No. G–18646):	42	1
FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 5	42	2
Supplement No. 1	42	
Assignment, Mar. 1 1960	Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Co.—	
Notice of Succession, Jan. 6, 1961	(Docket No. CI62–742):	
Woodson Oil Co.—	58	
(Docket No. G–18954):	58	1-3
FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1	58	4
Supplement Nos. 1-3	58	5
Successor Individual Trustee's Deed, Nov. 7, 1961	58	6
Conveyance and Quit-Claim Agreement, Nov. 8, 1961	58	7
Conveyance and Agreement, Nov. 8, 1961	58	
Conveyance and Agreement, Nov. 8, 1961		
Notice of Succession		

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10260; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. G–15250 etc.]

SHELL OIL CO. ET AL.

Notice of Applications and Date of Hearing and Notice of Severance

OCTOBER 9, 1962.

In the matter of Shell Oil Company, Docket No. G–15250;[1] Tidewater Oil Company, Docket No. G–16172; Shell Oil Company, Docket Nos. G–18334,[1] G–18402,[1] G–19044, G–19214,[1] G–19215,[1] G–19569,[1] G–19570,[1] G–19571, G–19572, G–19573,[1] G–19805, G–19840,[1] G–20224, G–20323, G–20324,[1] G–20567,[1] CI60–14,[1] CI60–35; Tidewater Oil Company, Docket No. CI60–430; Shell Oil Company, Docket Nos. CI60–454, CI60–502, CI61–104;[1] Tidewater Oil Company, Docket No. CI61–171; Shell Oil Company, Docket Nos. CI61–613, CI61–737, CI61–1063, CI61–1066,[1] CI61–1394, CI61–1421.[1]

Take notice that the above Applicants, Shell Oil Company and Tidewater Oil Company, have filed applications pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act in the docket numbers as listed above, for certificates of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce as hereinafter described, all as more fully described in the respective applications (and any supplements or amendments thereto) which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The aforesaid Applicants produce and propose to sell natural gas for transportation in interstate commerce for resale as indicated below:

Docket No.; Field and Location; Purchaser; Price per Mcf[2]

G–15250; West Cameron Block 192 Field, offshore Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.; 18.75 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–16172; Ridge Field, Lafayette Parish, La.; United Gas Pipe Line Co.; 20.0 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–18334; Mosquito Bay Field, southern Louisiana; Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.; 20.0 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–18402; Humphreys and South Humphreys Fields, Terrebonne Parish, southern Louisiana; Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.; 20.0 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–19044; Cote Blanche Field, southern Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line Co.; 18.5 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–19214; North Turtle Bayou Field, Terrebonne Parish, southern Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line Co.; 18.5 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–19215; Belle River Field, St. Martin Parish, southern Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line Co.; 18.5 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–19569; Lake Washington Field, Plaquemines Parish, southern Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.; 20.0 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–19570; Atchafalaya Bay Field (Deep), offshore Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.; 20.0 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–19571; Alta Loma Field, Texas R.R. Comm. District No. 3, Texas; Trunkline Gas Co.; 17.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

G–19572; S.W. Camp Creek Field, Beaver County, Okla.; Colorado Interstate Gas Co.; 15.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

G–19573; Bayou Chauvin Field, southern Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line Co.; 18.5 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–19805; Laverne Field, Harper County, Okla.; Colorado Interstate Gas Co.; 15.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

G–19840; Chauvin Field, Terrebonne Parish, southern Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line Co.; 18.5 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–20224; Oklahoma Deep Rights, Texas County, Okla.; Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America; 15.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

G–20323; Rich Ranch Field, Texas R.R. Comm. District No. 3, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.; 16.16947 cents at 14.65 psia.

G–20324; Clovelly Field, Lafourche Parish, southern Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.; 20.0 cents at 15.025 psia.

G–20567; East Cameron Block 17 Field (South), Cameron Parish, La.; United Fuel Gas Co.; 19.5 cents at 15.025 psia.

CI60–14; White Castle Field, Iberville Parish, southern Louisiana; Florida Gas Transmission Co. (successor to Coastal Transmission Corp.); 19.75 cents at 15.025 psia.

CI60–35; Borcher Area, Meade County, Kans.; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.; 16.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

CI60–430; Caillou Island Field, Terrebonne Parish, southern Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.; 18.5 cents at 15.025 psia.

CI60–454; Prairie Dog Field, Baca County, Colo.; Colorado Interstate Gas Co.; 14.625 cents at 14.65 psia.

CI60–502; Wilburton Area, Morton County, Kans., and Texas County, Okla.; Northern Natural Gas Co.; 14.0 cents and 16.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

CI61–104; West Delta Block 20, southern Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.; 20.0 cents at 15.025 psia.

CI61–171; Lacassine Refuge Field, Cameron Parish, La.; American Louisiana Pipe Line Co.; 19.75 cents at 15.025 psia.

CI61–613; Kansas Hugoton Field (Deep Rights), Kans.; Northern Natural Gas Co.; 16.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

CI61–737; Texas Panhandle Field, Roberts County, Tex.; Transwestern Pipe Line Co.; 17.0 cents at 14.65 psia. Oklahoma Panhandle Field, Beaver County, Okla.; Transwestern Pipe Line Co.; 17.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

CI61–1063; East Durant Area, Bryan County, Okla.; Lone Star Gas Co.; 15.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

CI61–1066; Eugene Island Block 128 Field, offshore Louisiana; Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Co.; 19.5 cents at 15.025 psia.

CI61–1394; Buffalo Field, Harper County, Okla.; Transwestern Pipe Line Co.; 17.0 cents at 14.65 psia.

[1] Presently consolidated with Docket Nos. AR61–2, et al.

[2] Shell Oil Company prices as approved by order issued August 1, 1962, as amended August 30, 1962, in Docket Nos. G–9446, et al. Tidewater Oil Company prices as approved by order issued June 15, 1962, as amended July 11, 1962, in Docket Nos. G–13310, et al.

CI61–1421; South Pass Block 27 Field, offshore Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.; 21.25 cents at 15.025 psia.

These matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on November 5, 1962, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before October 31, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made. *Provided, further,* If a protest, petition to intervene, or notice of intervention be timely filed in any of the above dockets, the above hearing date as to that docket will be vacated and a new date for hearing will be fixed as provided in § 1.20(m) (2) of the rules of practice and procedure.

Take further notice that the docketed proceedings listed below are hereby severed from the Area Rate Proceeding designated as Docket No. AR61–2 and will be disposed of in the instant proceeding: Docket Nos. G–15250, G–18334, G–18402, G–19214, G–19215, G–19569, G–19570, G–19573, G–19840, G–20324, G–20567, CI61–14, CI61–104, CI61–1066, CI61–1421.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10255; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. RP63–1]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Order Suspending Proposed Revised Tariff Sheets and Providing for Hearing Thereon

OCTOBER 9, 1962.

On September 12, 1962, United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) filed Proposed First Revised Tariff Sheets Nos. 9–A, 9–B, and 20–A, to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, relating to sales of natural gas to Tyler Gas Company (Tyler) subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed tariff sheets cancel United's Rate Schedule IND–T, and provide for increased rates under United's Rate Schedule DG–T totalling approximately $37,000 annually. The filing is intended to conform the rates and charges applicable to Tyler with proposed rates to other of United's Central Zone town border customers, tendered for filing on June 15, 1962, and suspended in Docket No. RP63–1 until January 1, 1963, by order of the Commission issued July 27, 1962. United has requested suspension of the proposed sheets filed September 12, 1962, until January 1, 1963, in Docket No. RP63–1.

The changes in rates and charges proposed in the aforementioned tariff sheets may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential for the reasons set forth in the Commission's order issued July 27, 1962, relating, inter alia, to similar changes for other town border customers. Under the circumstances, the proposed tariff sheets should be suspended in the above-styled proceeding until January 1, 1963, subject to all orders heretofore and hereafter issued in this proceeding. We are of the view, furthermore, that Tyler should be allowed additional time within which to file a petition to intervene, if it so desires.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provision of the Natural Gas Act that United's Proposed First Revised Tariff Sheets Nos. 9–A, 9–B, and 20–A, filed September 12, 1962, and the rates contained therein, be suspended and the use thereof deferred and hearing thereon be held as hereinafter provided.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR, Chapter 1), the public hearing prescribed in Docket No. RP63–1 is hereby augmented to include determination of the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services, contained in United's FPC Gas Tariff as proposed to be amended by First Revised Tariff Sheets Nos. 9–A, 9–B, and 20–A, tendered for filing on September 12, 1962.

(B) Pending hearing and decision thereon, United's Proposed First Revised Tariff Sheets Nos. 9–A, 9–B, and 20–A, tendered for filing September 12, 1962, and the rates and charges contained therein, are hereby suspended in Docket No. 63–1, and the use thereof deferred until January 1, 1963, and until such further time as they may be made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act. Such suspension shall be subject to all orders heretofore and hereafter issued in the above-styled proceeding.

(C) Petition to intervene may be filed by Tyler Gas Company with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, § 1.8 (18 CFR 1.8), on or before October 22, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10259; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

UNION AND NEW HAVEN TRUST CO.

Order Approving Merger of Banks

In the matter of the application of The Union and New Haven Trust Company for approval of merger with The Madison Trust Company.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to the Bank Merger Act of 1960 (12 U.S.C. 1828(c)), an application by The Union and New Haven Trust Company, New Haven, Connecticut, a member bank of the Federal Reserve System, for the Board's prior approval of the merger of that bank and The Madison Trust Company, Madison, Connecticut, under the charter and title of the former and, as an incident to the merger, a branch would be operated at the location of The Madison Trust Company. Notice of the proposed merger, in form approved by the Board, has been published pursuant to said Act.

Upon consideration of all relevant material in the light of the factors set forth in said Act, including reports furnished by the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Department of Justice on the competitive factors involved in the proposed merger,

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth in the Board's Statement [1] of this date, that said application be and hereby is approved, provided that said merger shall not be consummated (a) within seven calendar days after the date of this Order or (b) later than three months after said date.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 9th day of October 1962.

By order of the Board of Governors.[2]

[SEAL] MERRITT SHERMAN,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10281; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1–4257]

ATLANTIC RESEARCH CORP.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

The common stock, $1 par value of Atlantic Research Corporation, being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange and the Philadelphia-Baltimore Stock Exchange, national securities exchanges; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such

---

[1] Filed as part of the original document. Copies available upon request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington 25, D.C., or to the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

[2] Voting for this action: Chairman Martin, and Governors Balderston, Mills, Shepardson, and Mitchell. Absent and not voting: Governors Robertson and King.

security on such exchanges and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange and the Philadelphia-Baltimore Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be be effective for the period October 10, 1962, to October 19, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-10264; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 1-3842]

BLACK BEAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

The common stock, par value 15 cents a share, of Black Bear Industries, Inc. (formerly Black Bear Consolidated Mining Co.), being listed and registered on the San Francisco Mining Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the San Francisco Mining Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, October 11, 1962, through October 20, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-10265; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 1-3445]

E. L. BRUCE CO., INC.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

The common stock, par value $1, of E. L. Bruce Co. (Incorporated), being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, October 11, 1962, through October 20, 1962 both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-10266; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 1-4597]

INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES, INC.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

The Common assessable stock, $1.00 par value, of Industrial Enterprises, Inc., being listed and registered on the San Francisco Mining Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the San Francisco Mining Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, October 11, 1962, through October 20, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-10267; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 812-1523]

M. A. HANNA CO.

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Exempting Joint Enterprise by Controlled Persons of Registered Investment Company and Transactions Between Affiliated and Controlled Persons

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

Notice is hereby given that the M. A. Hanna Company ("Applicant"), 1750 Union Commerce Building, Cleveland 14, Ohio, a registered closed-end, nondiversified investment company has filed an application pursuant to sections 6(c), 17(b), and 17(d) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") and Rule 17d-1 thereunder to permit the Hanna Mining Company ("Hanna Mining"), National Steel Corporation ("National"), and certain other affiliated persons to participate in the organization, financing and operation of a steel pelletizing plant to be constructed and operated by Carol Pellet Company ("Carol"). All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a complete statement of the facts which are summarized below.

Applicant owns approximately 46 percent and 26 percent of the outstanding voting securities of Hanna Mining and National, respectively, and for the purposes of the Act they are affiliate persons of each other, affiliated persons of Applicant, and are presumptively controlled by Applicant. Hanna Mining and National own approximately 24 percent and 15 percent, respectively, of the outstanding voting securities of Iron Ore Company of Canada ("IOC"), which was organized in 1949 to develop iron ore properties in northern Quebec and Labrador. IOC in which Hanna Mining owns about 24 percent of the voting stock, is an affili-

ate of an affiliate (Hanna Mining) of Applicant for the purposes of the Act. The balance of the outstanding capital stock of IOC is owned by five United States and three Canadian steel producing companies, none of which is affiliated with Applicant or each other. All of the iron ore produced by IOC, except ore owned by two Canadian stockholders, is purchased pursuant to long term purchase contracts by the seven United States stockholders (referred to herein as "the stockholders"). Production, which consisted of "direct shipping" ore, began in 1954. In 1959, IOC adopted plans to produce "concentrates" or beneficiated ore in the form of fines, and production of such concentrates began in June 1962. Subsequently, Hanna Mining, National and the other United States stockholders of IOC decided to establish a pelletizing plant on a site at Carol Lake in Labrador, adjacent to IOC, to pelletize the bulk of the ore concentrates, such plant to be constructed and operated by Carol, a Delaware corporation.

The equity interest in Carol is to be held in varying proportions by the seven American companies which are stockholders of IOC. Hanna Mining and National will hold 11.59 percent and 18.54 percent thereof, respectively. Carol will be financed by its borrowing up to a maximum of $56,000,000, or about 80 percent of the funds needed, through the issuance and sale to six institutional investors, of $35,200,000 face amount of 5¼ percent Secured Notes Series A, due October 15, 1980, and $20,800,000 face amount of 4¾ percent Secured Notes, Series B, due October 15, 1969. An amount at least equal to 25 percent of the amounts so borrowed, or about $14,000,000, will be supplied by the stockholders, under several and not joint commitments, in the form of equity or junior capital, partly as common stock and partly as loans evidenced by subordinated notes in proportions which will be equal to their respective commitments to purchase and pay for pellets. The stockholders will also be committed severally but not jointly to provide any additional funds needed to complete the project, partly in the form of the purchase price of additional common stock and partly as loans and the acquisition of subordinated notes. The application represents that the relative participations of the stockholders in the joint enterprise have been determined solely on the basis of their own indications as to the amounts of concentrates which they desire to have produced and pelletized by Carol pursuant to a proposed Pellet Contract dated August 1, 1962, between Carol and the participating stockholders. Each of the stockholders except Hanna Mining and National presently expects to have pelletized at Carol all of the concentrates produced for it by IOC. Hanna Mining purchases all of its ore from IOC for resale and expects to resell a portion of the concentrates in that form, rather than in the form of pellets, and National presently plans to have part of its share of IOC concentrates sintered at its Weirton plant.

Carol's senior debt will be secured by an assignment of the obligations of the stockholders under the Pellet Contract to pay the debt service if and to the extent Carol does not have sufficient funds to pay the same. The contract provides, among other things, that each stockholder will purchase pellets produced from concentrates delivered to Carol by IOC as requested by the stockholders, to pay for such pellets in an amount sufficient to cover its proportionate share, i.e., in the same proportion as its stock ownership interest, of all expenses incurred by Carol in connection with the operation of the pellet plant including interest and an amount for depreciation which it is anticipated will be sufficient to cover all principal payments on Carol's indebtedness. The obligations under the contract are several and not joint. It will terminate on December 31, 1978, except that each stockholder shall thereafter continue to be severally obligated to cover its share of the debt service on senior debt which matures October 15, 1980.

The application states that in addition to the Pellet Contract and the financing arrangements described above, the project involves arrangements for possible future expansion of the pelletizing plant; for the purchase and delivery of and payment for pellets; the sale of pellets from excess plant capacity, if any; adjustments in the stock and subordinated notes of each participant so as to be proportionate to the quantity of pellets which a participant is committed to take in the event the plant is enlarged; arrangements and an undertaking by IOC to furnish such supervision and administrative services as may be requested by Carol and compensation therefor; the construction of additional supporting facilities by IOC and the furnishing of electric power by IOC on a cost basis.

Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d–1 thereunder, taken together provide, among other things, that it shall be unlawful, with certain exceptions not applicable here, for an affiliated person of a registered investment company or any affiliated person of such a person, acting as principal, to participate in, or effect any transaction in connection with any joint enterprise or arrangement, in which any such registered company, or a company controlled by such registered company, is a participant unless an application regarding such arrangement has been granted by the Commission, and that, in passing upon such an application, the Commission will consider whether the participation of such registered company or controlled company in such arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants. A joint enterprise or arrangement as used in Rule 17d–1 is defined as any written or oral plan, contract, authorization or arrangement, or any practice or understanding concerning an enterprise or undertaking whereby a registered investment company or a controlled company thereof and any affiliated person of or a principal underwriter for such registered company, or any affiliated person of such person or principal underwriter, have a joint or a joint and several participation, or share in the profits of such enterprise or undertaking.

Section 17(a) of the Act, in general, prohibits an affiliated person of a registered investment company, or an affiliated person of such a person, from selling any securities or property to such registered company or to any company controlled by such company and it prohibits affiliated persons from borrowing money from such registered investment company and companies controlled by it unless the Commission upon application pursuant to section 17(b), grants an exemption from section 17(a) upon a finding that the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned, as recited in its Registration Statement and reports filed under the Act, and is consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

Section 6(c) authorizes the Commission to exempt any person, security, or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions, from the provisions of the Act and Rules promulgated thereunder if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Applicant states that the participation of Hanna Mining and National will not be on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of the other participants since all participants will share the costs of financing and operating Carol in exact proportion to their respective stock interests and it also states that the proposed joint enterprise is not in any way inconsistent with any provisions, policies or purposes of the Act. It further urges that an order pursuant to section 17(b) and section 6(c) exempting the sale of securities to, or borrowing of money from, Hanna Mining and National by Carol pursuant to the Pellet Contract from the provisions of section 17(a) would be appropriate since, among other things, the securities proposed to be purchased by Hanna Mining and National will be issued on the same basis to each participant in the project and the proceeds will be used to provide part of the financing of the construction of the pelletizing facilities.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than October 29, 1962, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served

is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicant. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney-at-law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule O–5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62–10268; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 24A–1547]

PRECISION METAL PRODUCTS, INC.

Notice and Order for Hearing

OCTOBER 9, 1962.

I. Precision Metal Products, Inc. (issuer), a Florida corporation, 278 N.W. 27th Street, Miami 37, Florida, filed with the Commission on October 5, 1961, a notification on Form 1–A and an offering circular relating to a proposed public offering of 100,000 shares of its 10 cent par value common stock at $3.00 per share for an aggregate amount of $300,000, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) and Regulation A promulgated thereunder. Armstrong and Company, Inc., 15 William Street, New York City, New York, was named as underwriter.

II. The Commission, on September 13, 1962, issued an order pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, temporarily suspending the issuer's exemption under Regulation A, and affording to any person having any interest in the matter an opportunity to request a hearing. A written request for a hearing has been received by the Commission.

The Commission deems it necessary and appropriate that a hearing be held for the purpose of determining whether it should vacate the temporary suspension order or enter an order of permanent suspension in this matter.

It is hereby ordered, Pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that a hearing be held at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t., on November 26, 1962, at the New York Regional Office of the Commission, 23d Floor, 225 Broadway, New York 7, New York, with respect to the following matters and questions, without prejudice, however, to the specification of additional issues which may be presented in these proceedings:

A. Whether Regulation A became unavailable to the issuer by reason of the fact that Armstrong and Company, Inc., 15 William Street, New York City, New York, underwriter of this issue, is subject to an order issued by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York temporarily enjoining such firm from further violations of certain provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and in that the underwriter was named as underwriter of securities covered by a filing which is subject to a permanent suspension order entered under Rule 261 within the past five years.

B. Whether the terms and conditions of Regulation A have not been complied with in that the report on Form 2–A filed by the issuer failed to disclose adequately and accurately the total number of shares sold to the public and the total amount of proceeds received from the sale of these securities as required by Rule 260 of Regulation A.

C. Whether the offering circular contains untrue statements of material facts and omits to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made in the light of the circumstances under which they are made not misleading in that the proceeds from the offering were not used for the purposes set out in the offering circular.

D. Whether the underwriter, Armstrong and Company, Inc., in the distribution of these securities engaged in transactions, practices and a course of business which would operate and did operate as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of such securities in violation of section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

III. *It is further ordered,* That William Swift, Sr., or any officer or officers of the Commission designated by it for the purpose, shall preside at the hearing; that any officer or officers so designated to preside at any such hearing are hereby authorized to exercise all the powers granted to the Commission under sections 19(b), 21, and 22(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to hearing officers under the Commission's rules of practice.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve a copy of this order by registered mail on Precision Metal Products, Inc., that notice of the entering of this order shall be given to all other persons by a general release of the Commission and by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any person who desires to be heard, or otherwise wishes to participate in the hearing, shall file with the Secretary of the Commission on or before November 23, 1962, a written request relative thereto as provided in Rule 9(c) of the Commission's rules of practice.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62–10269; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 1–4583]

PRECISION MICROWAVE CORP.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

The Common Stock, Par Value $1.00, of Precision Microwave Corp., being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2–2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, October 11, 1962, through October 20, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62–10270; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 1–3412]

PROSPER OIL AND MINING CO.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

The common stock of the par value of ten cents, of Prosper Oil and Mining Company, being listed and registered on the Salt Lake Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such stock on such exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2–2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in said security on the Salt Lake Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effec-

tive for the period of ten (10) days, October 11, 1962, through October 20, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-10271; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 24NY-4811]

RAINDOR GOLD MINES, LTD.

Order Temporarily Suspending Exemption, Statement of Reasons Therefor, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

OCTOBER 10, 1962.

I. Raindor Gold Mines, Limited, 200 Bay Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, filed a notification on Form 1-A and an offering circular on January 28, 1959, and subsequently filed amendments relating to an offering of $290,000 of its common stock, $1.00 par value, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements under section 3(b) of the Securities Act of 1933, pursuant to Regulation A.

II. The Commission has reasonable cause to believe that:

A. Regulation A is not available to the issuer

1. Under the provisions of Rule 253(d)(3) of Regulation A because Quinn, Neu & Co., Inc., the named underwriter, by stipulation filed May 27, 1959, is subject to an order of the Commission issued on October 9, 1961, pursuant to section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, revoking its broker-dealer registration;

2. Under the provisions of Rule 253(d)(4) of Regulation A because Quinn, Neu & Co., Inc., the named underwriter, has been expelled from membership in the National Association of Securities Dealers.

B. The terms and conditions of Regulation A have not been complied with, in that the issuer has failed to file a revised offering circular, pursuant to Rule 256(e), subsequent to January 18, 1961, although the offering has not been completed.

III. *It is ordered,* Pursuant to Rule 261(a) of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, that the exemption under Regulation A be, and it hereby is, temporarily suspended.

Notice is hereby given that any person having any interest in the matter may file with the Secretary of the Commission a written request for a hearing within 30 days after the entry of this order; that within 20 days after receipt of such request, the Commission will, or at any time upon its own motion may, set the matter down for hearing at a place designated by the Commission for the purpose of determining whether this order of suspension should be vacated or made permanent, without prejudice, however, to the consideration and presentation of additional matters at the the hearing; that if no hearing is requested and none is ordered by the Commission, this order shall become permanent on the thirtieth day after its entry and shall remain in effect unless or until it is modified or vacated by the Commission; and that notice of the time and place for said hearing will be promptly given by the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-10272; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

FEDERAL RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Approval To Operate as a Small Business Research and Development Pool, Request To Operate as Defense Production Pool, and Request to Certain Companies To Participate in Operations of Such Pool

Pursuant to sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act (72 Stat. 391, 394) and section 1 of Executive Order 10493 (18 F.R. 6583), dated October 15, 1953, the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, after consultation with the Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission and the Attorney General of the United States, has found that the voluntary agreement and proposed joint programs of the Federal Research and Development Corporation to operate as a small business research and development pool and as a defense production pool, are in the public interest as contributing to the national defense, will maintain and strengthen the free enterprise system and economy of the United States, and will further the objectives of the Small Business Act.

Having received the approval of the Attorney General of the United States as required by sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act, the Administrator of the Small Business Administration has approved the voluntary agreement and proposed joint program of the Federal Research and Development Corporation as a research and development pool and has requested it to act in accordance with this agreement and proposed program as a small business defense production pool.

In accordance with the requirements of sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act, there is set forth herewith a copy of the request sent to the Federal Research and Development Corporation.

REQUEST TO FEDERAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, NEW ORLEANS, LA.

Following consultation with the Attorney General of the United States and the Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission, I find that the voluntary agreement and proposed joint program of the Federal Research and Development Corporation to operate as a small business research and development pool and a small business defense production pool is in the public interest as contributing to the national defense and to the needs of small business, will maintain and strengthen the free enterprise system and economy of the United States, and further the objectives of the Small Business Act.

Having also received the approval of the Attorney General of the United States as required by sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act, I, in accordance with these sections, approve your voluntary agreement and proposed joint program and request the pool to act in accordance with said agreement and proposed joint program as a defense production pool.

The immunity from the prohibitions of the antitrust laws or the Federal Trade Commission Act as granted by sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act, will cease upon withdrawal by the Attorney General of the United States or myself of the above findings, approval or request.

The approval by the Attorney General of the United States is limited to activities engaged in between the pool and its members and does not extend to subcontracting with nonmembers. This should not be interpreted, however, as meaning that such subcontracting would necessarily be in violation of the antitrust laws.

Please inform me as to whether the pool will act in accordance with my request. The pool cannot begin operation until the pool and each of its members has individually accepted this request.

With kindest regards, I am

Sincerely,

JOHN E. HORNE, *Administrator.*

In accordance with the requirements of sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act, there is set forth herewith a copy of the request sent to the following members of the Federal Research and Development Corporation:

1. Eustis Engineering Co., 3635 Airline Highway, Metairie, La.
2. B. M. Dornblatt & Associates, Inc., 826 Lafayette Street, New Orleans 12, La.
3. Holzer Sheet Metal Works, 321 Burgundy Street, New Orleans, La.
4. Shilstone Testing Laboratory, 814 Conti Street, New Orleans, La.

REQUEST TO MEMBERS OF FEDERAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Following consultation with the Attorney General of the United States and the Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission, I find that the voluntary agreement and proposed joint program of the Federal Research and Development Corporation to operate as a small business research and development pool and a small business defense production pool is in the public interest as contributing to the national defense and to the needs of small business, will maintain and strengthen the free enterprise system and economy of the United States, and further the objectives of the Small Business Act.

Having also received the approval of the Attorney General of the United States as required by sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act, I, in accordance with these sections, approve your voluntary agreement and proposed joint program and request the pool to act in accordance with said agreement and proposed joint program as a defense production pool.

The immunity from the prohibitions of the antitrust laws or the Federal Trade Commission Act as granted by sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act, will cease upon withdrawal by the Attorney General of the United States or myself of the above findings, approval or request.

The approval by the Attorney General of the United States is limited to activities engaged in between the pool and its members and does not extend to subcontracting with nonmembers. This should not be interpreted, however, as meaning that such sub-

contracting would necessarily be in violation of the antitrust laws.

Please inform me as to whether you will become a member of and participate in the joint program of the pool.

With kindest regards, I am

Sincerely,

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

The immunity from the prohibitions of the antitrust laws or the Federal Trade Commission Act as granted by sections 9(d) and 11 of the Small Business Act, will cease upon withdrawal by the Attorney General of the United States or the Administrator of the Small Business Administration of the above findings, approval or requests.

The pool and the above four members accepted the requests to participate.

Dated: October 8, 1962.

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10298; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

TARIFF COMMISSION

[7-113; 7-114; 7-115; 7-116]

CERTAIN MERCHANDISE

Notice of Continuation of Investigations

The Trade Expansion Act of 1962, which was signed into law by the President on October 11, 1962 (Public Law 87-794), provides in section 257(e)(3) that any investigation by the Tariff Commission under section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951, as amended, which is in progress on the date of the enactment of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 shall be continued under section 301 as if the application by the interested party were a petition under such section for tariff adjustment under section 351. For the purposes of section 301(f), such petition shall be treated as having been filed on the date of the enactment of this Act.

On the date of enactment of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, there were in progress under section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951 the following investigations, in connection with which public hearings had been held on the dates indicated:

Number 7-113, relating to Household China Tableware and Kitchenware. Hearing held July 24-Aug. 1, 1962;

Number 7-114, relating to Earthenware Table and Kitchen Articles. Hearing held July 25 and Aug. 1-2, 1962;

Number 7-115, relating to Hatters' Fur. Hearing held Sept. 11, 1962.

Number 7-116, relating to Softwood Lumber. Hearing held Oct. 2-5 and 9-12, 1962.

In view of the enactment of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, the above identified investigations which were initiated under section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951 are continuing and will be completed under the provisions of section 301(b)(1) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962.

No additional hearings are being scheduled in connection with the foregoing investigations. However, any interested party may request an additional hearing, stating his reasons therefor. Such request shall be in writing and shall be filed with the Secretary of the Tariff Commission within 20 days after the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Parties desiring to supplement the information presented at the hearings in the foregoing investigations need not for this reason request an additional hearing, but may submit such information to the Commission in writing not later than November 15, 1962. Such written supplemental information will be made a part of the records of the pertinent investigation.

Issued: October 12, 1962.

By order of the Commission.

DONN N. BENT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10346; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Drouth Order No. 62; Amdt. 9]

ALABAMA, SOUTH CAROLINA AND WEST VIRGINIA

Authorization for Railroads To Transport Hay at Reduced Rates

In the matter of relief under section 22 of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Present: Laurence K. Walrath, Vice-Chairman, to whom the above-entitled matter has been assigned for action thereon.

It appearing that due to the drouth conditions existing in the State of Kentucky the Commission issued Drouth Order No. 62 under section 22 of the Interstate Commerce Act authorizing the railroads subject to the Commission's jurisdiction to transport hay to the drouth area at reduced rates;

And it further appearing that the United States Department of Agriculture has requested the Commission to enter an order authorizing the same authority to 8 additional counties located in the States of Alabama, South Caroline, and West Virginia:

It is ordered, That Drouth Order No. 62 as amended, be, and it is hereby, further amended by adding thereto the following:

ALABAMA

4 counties, viz:

Colbert.	Lamar.
Crenshaw.	Talladega.

SOUTH CAROLINA

2 counties, viz:

Greenwood.	York.

WEST VIRGINIA

2 counties, viz:

Hancock.	Wetzel.

It is further ordered, That in all other respects Drouth Order No. 62, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

And it is further ordered, That notice to the affected railroads and the general public shall be given by depositing a copy of this order in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register; and that copies be mailed to the Chairman of the Traffic Executive Association-Eastern Railroads, New York, N.Y., the Chairman of the Southern Freight Association, Atlanta, Georgia, the Chairman of the Executive Committee, Western Traffic Association, Chicago, Illinois, the Traffic Vice-President of the Association of American Railroads, Washington, D.C., and to the President of the American Short Line Railroad Association, Washington, D.C.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 10th day of October A.D. 1962.

By the Commission, Vice-Chairman Walrath.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10286; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

[Notice 703]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

OCTOBER 11, 1962.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 65326. By order of October 8, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to A & C Carriers, Inc., Muskegon, Mich., of Certificates Nos. MC 114227 Sub-1 and MC 114227 Sub-8, issued October 25, 1956, and March 1, 1960, to Albert Meeusen and Clifford Russell, a partnership, doing business as A & C Carriers, Muskegon, Mich., authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Muskegon, Mich., to points in Indiana, of lacquer thinner, commercial solvents, and commercial thinner used in the manufacture of industrial finishes, in bulk; in tank vehicles, from Grand Rapids, Mich., to points in North Carolina, and of enamel, varnish, lacquers, lacquer thinner and sealer, stains, commercial finishes, and resins, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Grand Rapids, Mich., to points in Virginia, Georgia, Tennessee, and Arkansas. Thomas F. Kilroy, 912 Federal Bar Building, 1815 H Street NW., Washington 6, D.C., attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC 65351. By order of October 8, 1962, the Transfer Board ap-

proved the transfer to Alfred E. Anding, Arena, Wis., of Certificate No. MC 101631, issued June 7, 1956, to Walter Anding and Emil C. Millette, a partnership, doing business as Muscoda-Chicago Motor Express, Muscoda, Wis., authorizing the transportation of: Farm machinery and implements, from specified points in Illinois, Indiana, and Iowa, to points in Wisconsin; farm machinery from Charles City and Waterloo, Iowa, to points in Iowa and Illinois within 35 miles of Bloomington, Wis., agricultural commodities, from Bloomington, Wis., and points within 35 miles thereof, to Chicago, Ill., and Dubuque, Iowa; from points in Illinois and Iowa, to Bloomington, Wis., feed, seed, and fertilizer, from points in Illinois, and Iowa, to Keokuk, Iowa, and specified points in Wisconsin, from Chicago, Ill., and Dubuque, Iowa, to Bloomington, Wis., and points within 35 miles thereof; corrugated culverts, from Dubuque, Iowa, to points in Wisconsin; fencing materials, grain and soy beans, from points in Illinois and Iowa, to Keokuk, Iowa, to points in Wisconsin; coal from points in Illinois to East Peoria, Ill., to specified points in Wisconsin; livestock, from Bloomington, Wis., and points within 35 miles thereof, and other specified points in Wisconsin, to Chicago, Ill., and Dubuque, Iowa; from Chicago, Ill., and points in Iowa, to specified points in Wisconsin; between Bloomington, Wis., and points within 35 miles thereof, and those in Iowa County, Wis., not included in the above-described area, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois and Iowa; between points in Wisconsin on the one hand, and, on the other, Dubuque, Iowa, and East Dubuque, Ill., carbonated beverages, nonalcoholic, and mineral water, from Rocky Dell Mineral Springs, Grant County, Wis., to points in Illinois and Iowa and empty containers from specified points in Illinois and Iowa to Rocky Dell Mineral Springs in the Town of Woodman, Grant County, Wis. Edward Solie, 1 South Pinckney Street, Madison 3, Wis., attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10287; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

CERTIFICATES AUTHORIZING EMPLOYMENT OF LEARNERS AT SPECIAL MINIMUM RATES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) and Administrative Order No. 561 (27 F.R. 4001), the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the Act. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, learning periods, and the principal product manufactured by the employer for certificates issued under general learner regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9) are as indicated below. Conditions provided in certificates issued under the supplemental industry regulations cited in the captions below are as established in those regulations.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.25, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Anvill Brand, Inc., 1624 North Main Street, High Point, N.C.; effective 10-6-62 to 10-5-63 (work shirts).

Adam H. Bartel Co., Richmond, Ind.; effective 10-14-62 to 10-13-63 (men's denim overalls and dungarees).

Blue Bell, Inc., Belmont, Miss.; effective 10-13-62 to 10-12-63 (men's and boys' work and sport trousers and shorts).

Blue Gem Manufacturing Co., 604 Hoover Street, Asheboro, N.C.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63 (men's and boys' work clothing-dungarees).

Blue Gem Manufacturing Co., 1301 Carolina Street, Greensboro, N.C.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63 (men's and boys' denim overalls).

Bruce Co., Inc., 120 East 15th Street, Ottawa, Kans.; effective 9-28-62 to 9-27-63 (men's work clothing).

Elsing Manufacturing Co., South on Highway 69, McAlester, Okla.; effective 9-27-62 to 9-26-63 (ladies' and children's blouses and pants).

Freeland Shirt Co., 1015 Dewey Street, Freeland, Pa.; effective 10-3-62 to 10-2-63 (men's and children's outerwear jackets and vests).

Paul Gayer, Inc., 213 Church Street, Zeigler, Ill.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63. Learners may not be employed at special minimum wage rates in the production of separate skirts (women's blouses, vests, and dresses).

Lebro Shirt Manufacturing Co., Lykena, Pa.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63 (men's sport shirts).

Martin Shirt Co., 27 East Poplar Street, Shenandoah, Pa.; effective 9-26-62 to 9-25-63 (ladies' blouses and boys' shirts).

Mode O'Day Corp., 607 Main Street, Osawatomie, Kans.; effective 9-27-62 to 9-26-63 (women's blouses).

The Morehead Co., 800 West Main Street, Morehead, Ky.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63 (men's and boys' dungarees).

Nino Sportswear, 221 Lackawanna Avenue, Scranton, Pa.; effective 10-4-62 to 10-3-63 (boys' trousers).

Oshkosh B' Gosh, Inc., Celina Division, Celina, Tenn.; effective 10-8-62 to 10-7-63 (men's cotton work and casual pants).

Standard Romper Co., Inc., Verney Building, Main Street, Brunswick, Maine; effective 10-11-62 to 10-10-63 (children's pants).

Standard Romper Co., R. 335 Forest Avenue Portland, Maine; effective 10-4-62 to 10-3-63 (children's outer garments).

Star Sportswear Manufacturing Co., 278 Broad Street, Lynn, Mass.; effective 10-10-62 to 10-9-63 (vinyle, and cloth outerwear jackets for men, women and children).

A. Stein & Co., 606 North Vermillion Street, Streator, Ill.; effective 9-28-62 to 9-27-63 (women's brassieres and girdles).

Levi Strauss and Co., 220 North Houston Avenue, Denison, Tex.; effective 9-27-62 to 9-26-63 (men's and boys' slacks and outerwear jackets).

Tracy City Manufacturing Co., Tracy City, Tenn.; effective 9-27-62 to 9-26-63 (men's and boys' sport shirts).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Hampton Industries, Co., Hampton, Ark.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63; six learners (men's lined work jackets).

Eileen Hope, Inc., 138 Cumberland Street, Duncannon, Pa.; effective 9-26-62 to 9-25-63; 10 learners (women's dresses).

Eileen Hope, Inc., 209 Market Street, Halifax, Pa.; effective 9-26-62 to 9-25-63; 10 learners (women's dresses).

Murcel Manufacturing Co., Glennville, Ga.; effective 9-28-62 to 9-27-63; 10 learners (nurses' and maids' uniforms).

The following learner certificates were issued for plant expansion purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Barad Lingerie Co. of Salem, Salem, Mo.; effective 9-27-62 to 3-26-63; 20 learners (ladies' cotton sleepwear).

Carbon Hill Manufacturing Co., Carbon Hill, Ala.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63; 45 learners (boys' and men's dress slacks).

Michael Casuals, Inc., Konawe, Seminole County, Okla.; effective 9-27-62 to 3-26-63; 10 learners. Learners may not be employed at special minimum wage rates in the production of separate skirts (women's blouses, shorts, pants, etc.).

Mill Apparel Co., Inc., Corner of State and Walnut Streets, Millville, Pa.; effective 10-1-62 to 3-30-63; 10 learners (women's dresses).

Tracy City Manufacturing Co., Tracy City, Tenn.; effective 9-27-62 to 3-26-63; 150 learners (men's and boys' sport shirts).

Cigar Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.80 to 522.85, as amended).

Budd Cigar Co., Alabama, 309 Sixth Avenue, Dothan, Ala.; effective 9-24-62 to 9-23-63; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes.

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.65, as amended).

Mountain City Glove Co., Mountain City, Tenn.; effective 9-27-62 to 9-26-63; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (flannel knit work gloves).

Mountain City Glove Co., Mountain City, Tenn.; effective 9-27-62 to 3-26-63; 30 learners for plant expansion purposes (knit work gloves).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.43, as amended).

Amos Hosiery Mills, Inc., High Point, N.C.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Claussner Hosiery Co., Plant No. 1, Hosiery Division, 28th and Adams Streets, Paducah, Ky.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (full-fashioned and seamless).

Commonwealth Hosiery Mills, Inc., Ellerbe, N.C.; effective 10-3-62 to 10-2-63; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' hosiery).

Commonwealth Hosiery Mills, Inc., Randleman, N.C.; effective 10-1-62 to 9-30-63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' seamless hosiery).

Franklin Hosiery Co., Franklin, N.C.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' seamless hosiery).

Harriman Hosiery Co., Siluria Street, Harriman, Tenn.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' circular knit nylon hosiery).

Hollar Hosiery Mills, Inc., 5 First Avenue NW., Hickory, N.C.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

C. D. Jessup and Co., Claremont, N.C.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless hosiery).

Kayser-Roth Hosiery Co., Inc., Dayton Division, Dayton, Tenn.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Kosciusko Hosiery Mills, Division of Wayne Knitting Mills, Kosciusko, Miss.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Walnut Cove Hosiery Mills, Walnut Cove, N.C.; effective 10–3–62 to 10–2–63; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes (infants' hosiery, seamless).

Wright Knit Hosiery Mills, Inc., 876 East Highland Avenue, Hickory, N.C.; effective 9–25–62 to 9–24–63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (hosiery, seamless).

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.30 to 522.35, as amended).

Rocky Mount Undergarment Co., Inc., 1536 Boone Street, Rocky Mount, N.C.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' cotton and acetate tricot knit panties).

Standard Romper Co., Inc., Building No. 7; 200 Conant Street, Pawtucket, R.I.; effective 9–23–62 to 9–22–63; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (children's knit garments).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at special minimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 522.9. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in 29 CFR Part 528.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of October 1962.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,
Authorized Representative of the Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10261; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

CERTIFICATES AUTHORIZING EMPLOYMENT OF FULL-TIME STUDENTS WORKING OUTSIDE OF SCHOOL HOURS IN RETAIL OR SERVICE ESTABLISHMENTS AT SPECIAL MINIMUM WAGES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), the regulations on employment of full-time students (29 CFR Part 519), and Administrative Order No. 561 (27 F.R. 4001), the establishments listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of full-time students working outside of school hours at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the Act. The effective and expiration dates, type of establishment and total number of employees of the establishment are as indicated below. Pursuant to § 519.6(b) of the regulation, the minimum certificate rates are not less than 85 percent of the minimum applicable under section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

The following certificates were issued pursuant to §§ 519.6(c) and 519.6(g) of 29 CFR providing for an allowance not to exceed the proportion of the total number of hours worked by full-time students at rates below $1.00 an hour to the total number of hours worked by all employees in the establishment during the base period, or 10 percent, whichever is lesser, in occupations of the same general classes in which the establishment employed full-time students at wages below $1.00 an hour in the base period.

Region IV

Belk-Gallant Co., East Main Street, LaGrange, Ga.; effective 9–20–62 to 9–19–63 (department store; 53 employees).

Region V

Neisner Bros., Inc., #3, East Detroit, Mich.; effective 9–18–62 to 9–17–63 (variety store; 12 employees).

Region VI

Neisner Bros., Inc., #69, 1614 Sherman Avenue, Evanston, Ill.; effective 9–18–62 to 9–17–63 (variety store; 24 employees).

Region VIII

McCrory-McLellan-Green Stores, 804 Congress Avenue, Austin, Tex.; effective 9–21–62 to 9–20–63 (variety store; 34 employees).

Region X

S. H. Heironimus Co., 405 South Jefferson Street, Roanoke, Va.; effective 9–17–62 to 9–16–63 (department store; 341 employees).

J. Fred Johnson Co., Broad Street, Kingsport, Tenn.; effective 9–14–62 to 9–13–63 (department store; 135 employees).

The following certificates were issued to establishments coming into existence after May 1, 1960, under paragraphs (c), (d), (g), and (h) of § 519.6 of 29 CFR Part 519. The certificates permit the employment of full-time students at rates below $1.00 an hour in the classes of occupations listed, and provide for limitations on the percentage of full-time student hours of employment at rates below $1.00 an hour to total hours of employment of all employees. The percentage limitations vary from month to month between the minimum and maximum figures indicated.

Bonham's Foods, Inc., 4200 South Staples Street, Corpus Christi, Tex.; effective 9–14–62 to 9–13–63; sack boy, stock clerk, produce trimmer, checker; 10 percent each month (food store; 20 employees).

W. T. Grant Co., Inc., #958, Southside Shopping Center, Bristol, Tenn.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; cashiers, sales clerk; between 6.3 percent and 10 percent (department store; 28 employees).

H. E. B. Food Store, #82, 2600 Seventh Street, Bay City, Tex.; effective 9–14–62 to 9–13–63; package boy, stock boy, bottle boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 27 employees).

H. E. B. Food Store, #85, 120 West Rice Street, Falfurrias, Tex.; effective 9–14–62 to 9–13–63; package boy, sack boy, bottle boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 22 employees).

H. E. B. Food Store, #84, 302 South Second Street, McAllen, Tex.; effective 9–14–62 to 9–13–63; package boy, sack boy, bottle boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 22 employees).

H. E. B. Food Store, #20, Viking Mall Shopping Center, Port Lavaca, Tex.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; package boy, sack boy, bottle boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 27 employees).

H. E. B. Food Store, #83, 3680 Fredericksburg Road, San Antonio, Tex.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; package boy, sack boy, bottle boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 34 employees).

H. E. B. Food Store, #58, North Star Mall, San Antonio, Tex.; effective 9–14–62 to 9–13–63; package boy, sack boy, bottle boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 50 employees).

H. E. B. Food Store, #56, 516 North Main, Taylor, Tex.; effective 9–14–62 to 9–13–63; package boy, sack boy, bottle boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 27 employees).

H. E. B. Food Store, #54, 516 North 20th Street, Waco, Tex.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; package boy, sack boy, bottle boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 45 employees).

Hested Laramie Corp., #725, Laramie, Wyo.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; sales clerk, window trimmer, stock clerk, janitor, gift wrapper; between 1.0 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 24 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., 3727 Oleander Drive, Wilmington, N.C.; effective 9–21–62 to 9–20–63; sales clerk; between 3.5 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 23 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., #779, The Village Shopping Center, 555 Reidville Road, Spartanburg, S.C.; effective 9–13–62 to 9–12–63; sales clerk; between 6.7 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 29 employees).

Mart Grocers, Inc., 8601 East 40 Highway, Kansas City, Mo.; effective 9–18–62 to 9–17–63; shag boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 27 employees).

McCrory-McLellan-Green Store, #1301, 1734 Merritt Boulevard, Baltimore, Md.; effective 9–25–62 to 9–24–63; sales clerk, stock clerk, office clerk; 10 percent each month (variety store; 30 employees).

McCrory-McLellan-Green Stores, Mountainville Shopping Center, South Fourth Street, Allentown, Pa.; effective 7–19–62 to 7–18–63; sales clerk, stock clerk, office clerk; between 1.7 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 51 employees).

G. C. Murphy Co., 230–232 Main Street, Tifton, Ga.; effective 9–21–62 to 9–20–63; sales, clerical, janitorial, stock-keeping; be-

tween 0 percent and 9.7 percent (variety store; 20 employees).

Pratt Foods, 811 East Main Street, Shawnee, Okla.; effective 10–1–62 to 4–30–63; sacker, carryout, stock clerk, window washer, janitor; 10 percent each month (food store; 21 employees).

Southway Discount Center, Inc., 342 Finley Avenue, Birmingham, Ala.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; bag boy, carryout boy, clerk, checker; between 4.2 percent and 10 percent (food store; 82 employees).

F. W. Woolworth Co., #2484, 1105 Shelby Street, Indianapolis, Ind.; effective 9–17–62 to 9–16–63; salesladies; between 4.8 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 44 employees).

Each certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of full-time students at special minimum rates is necessary to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and the hiring of full-time students at special minimum rates will not tend to displace full-time employees. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in Part 528 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 519.9.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 8th day of October 1962.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,
Authorized Representative of the Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62–10262; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

CERTIFICATES AUTHORIZING THE EMPLOYMENT OF FULL-TIME STUDENTS WORKING OUTSIDE OF SCHOOL HOURS IN RETAIL OR SERVICE ESTABLISHMENTS AT SPECIAL MINIMUM WAGES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), the regulations on employment of full-time students (29 CFR Part 519), and Administrative Order No. 561 (27 F.R. 4001), the establishments listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of full-time students working outside of school hours at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the Act. The effective and expiration dates, type of establishment and total number of employees of the establishment are as indicated below. Pursuant to § 519.6(b) of the regulation, the minimum certificate rates are not less than 85 percent of the minimum applicable under section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

The following certificates were issued pursuant to 29 CFR 519.6 (c) and (g) providing for an allowance not to exceed the proportion of the total number of hours worked by full-time students at rates below $1.00 an hour to the total number of hours worked by all employees in the establishment during the base period, or 10 percent, whichever is lesser, in occupations of the same general classes in which the establishment employed full-time students at wages below $1.00 an hour in the base period.

Region I

The Outlet Co., 176 Waybosset Street, Providence, R.I.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63 (variety store; 806 employees).

W. T. Grant Co., 149 South Main Street, Fall River, Mass.; effective 9–26–62 to 9–25–63 (variety store; 41 employees).

Region III

B. J. Hoy 5 & 10¢ Stores, Inc., 239F Concord Road, Village Green, Chester, Pa.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63 (variety store; 14 employees).

B. J. Hoy 5 & 10¢ Stores Mart Inc., Merchandise Mart-East Wing, Governor Printz Boulevard, Wilmington, Del.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63 (variety store; 16 employees).

B. J. Hoy 5 & 10¢ Stores Inc., 206 North Union Street, Wilmington, Del.; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63 (variety store; 21 employees).

Region VIII

Popular Dry Goods Co., Inc., Texas, Mesa and San Antonio Streets, El Paso, Tex.; effective 9–29–62 to 9–28–63 (variety store; 790 employees).

F. W. Woolworth Co., #735, 317 Central NW., Albuquerque, N. Mex.; effective 9–29–62 to 9–28–63 (variety store; 70 employees).

Region X

Byck Bros. & Co., 532 South Fourth Street, Louisville, Ky.; effective 9–25–62 to 9–24–63 (women's apparel and specialty store; 186 employees).

The following certificates were issued to establishments coming into existence after May 1, 1960, under paragraphs (c), (d), (g), and (h) of § 519.6 of 29 CFR Part 519. The certificates permit the employment of full-time students at rates below $1.00 an hour in the classes of occupations listed, and provide for limitations on the percentage of full-time student hours of employment at rates below $1.00 an hour to total hours of employment of all employees. The percentage limitations vary from month to month between the minimum and maximum figures indicated.

Bonham's Foods, Inc., Central Boulevard at Northwest Jefferson, Brownsville, Tex.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; sacker, stock clerk, checker, produce trimmer; between 0 percent and 10 percent (food store; 27 employees).

Britts Parole Corp., Parole Plaza Shopping Center, 2 Parole Plaza, Annapolis, Md.; effective 9–26–62 to 9–25–63; sales clerk, stock clerk; between 5.1 percent and 10 percent (department store; 65 employees).

The Gateway Co., Inc., Hinky Dinky Store #62, 60th and O Streets, Lincoln, Nebr.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; sack boy, carryout boy; 10 percent each month (food store; 35 employees).

Hested Stores Co., #793, 2547 11th Avenue, Greeley, Colo.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; sales clerk, stock clerk; between 3.5 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 17 employees).

Jupiter Discount, 760 North Main Street, West Hartford, Conn.; effective 9–21–62 to 9–20–63; sales clerk; between 4.3 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 19 employees).

Jupiter Discount Store, 6316 Woodland Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.; effective 9–28–62 to 9–27–63; sales clerk; between 3.0 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 19 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., #264, Yorkridge Shopping Center, 50 West Ridgely Road, Lutherville, Md.; effective 10–3–62 to 10–2–63; sales clerk; 10 percent each month (variety store; 33 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., #771, 1545 Grand Avenue, Billings, Mont.; effective 10–5–62 to 10–4–63; sales clerk; 10 percent each month (variety store; 29 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., 408 Northlake Shopping Center, Northwest Highway and Ferndale Road, Dallas, Tex.; effective 9–27–62 to 9–26–63; sales clerk; between 0.2 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 21 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., #705, Oak Forest Shopping Center, 1341 West 43d Street, Houston, Tex.; effective 9–27–62 to 9–26–63; sales clerk; between 3.1 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 33 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., McArthur Drive Shopping Center, 2656 West McArthur Drive, Orange, Tex.; effective 10–3–62 to 10–2–63; sales clerk; between 1.5 percent and 7.4 percent (variety store; 30 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., 354 North Star Mall, San Antonio, Tex.; effective 10–3–62 to 10–2–63; sales clerk; between 4.9 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 38 employees).

S. S. Kresge Co., Springfield Plaza Shopping Center, 6414 Springfield Plaza, Springfield, Va.; effective 9–26–62 to 9–25–63; sales clerk; 10 percent each month (variety store; 48 employees).

McCrory's, U.S. #1 South, Smyrna Shopping Center, New Smyrna Beach, Fla.; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; sales clerk, stock clerk, office clerk; between 3.5 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 59 employees).

McCrory-McLellan's-Green, 1114 Candelaria Northwest, Albuquerque, N. Mex.; effective 10–1–62 to 4–28–63; stock clerk, sales clerk; between 0 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 28 employees).

Neisner Bros., Inc., #196, U.S. #1 and Palm Place, Marathon, Fla.; effective 9–28–62 to 9–27–63; selling, stocking; between 7.2 percent and 10 percent (variety store; 32 employees).

Neisner Bros., Inc., #204, 2726 Mount Pleasant Street, Burlington, Iowa; effective 10–1–62 to 9–30–63; selling, stocking, clerical; between 4.3 percent and 10 percent (variety store; new store).

Younker Bros., Inc., Niddle and Nimberly Roads, Bettendorf, Iowa; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; stock clerk, office clerk, sales clerk, messenger, wrapper, marker, delivery clerk; between 8.9 percent and 10 percent (department store; 53 employees).

Younker Bros., Inc., Lindale Plaza, 4444 First Avenue, Northwest, Cedar Rapids, Iowa; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; stock clerk, office clerk, sales clerk, delivery clerk, wrapper, marker, messenger; between 2.3 percent and 8.8 percent (department store; 131 employees).

Younker Bros., Inc., 1501 First Avenue, East, Newton, Iowa; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; stock clerk, office clerk, sales clerk, delivery clerk, messenger, marker, wrapper; between 0.6 percent and 8.2 percent (department store; 22 employees).

Younker Bros., Inc., 1950 Grand Avenue, North, Spencer, Iowa; effective 9–24–62 to 9–23–63; sales clerk, stock clerk, office clerk, delivery clerk, wrapper, marker, messenger; between 0 percent and 7.9 percent (department store; 24 employees).

Each certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of full-time students at special minimum rates is necessary to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and the hiring of full-time

students at special minimum rates will not tend to displace full-time employees. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in Part 528 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 519.9.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 8th day of October 1962.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,
Authorized Representative of the Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-10263; Filed, Oct. 15, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—OCTOBER

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during October.

1 CFR

	Page
Ch. I	9841

3 CFR

	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:	
Feb. 25, 1893	9778
Jan. 23, 1904	9778
Jan. 26, 1909	9778
2961	9829
3279	9683
3464	10079
3496	9679
3497	9681
3498	9879
3499	10077
3500	10079
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
Nov. 21, 1916	9813
Dec. 12, 1917	10121
9981	9683
10219	9683
10242	9683
10260	9683
10269	9683
10296	9683
10312	9683
10346	9683
10421	9683
10427	9683
10438	9683
10461	9683
10480	9683
10494	9683
10524	9683
10529	9683
10539	9683
10582	9683
10601	9683
10634	9683
10638	9683
10660	9683
10705	9683
10737	9683
10773	9683
10782	9683
10789	9683
10900	9683
10902	9683
10952	9683
10958	9683
10995	9683
11030	9683
11051	9683
11052	9691
11053	9693
11054	9695
11055	9981
11056	10017

3 CFR—Continued

	Page
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
Memorandum, Feb. 9, 1962	9683
Reorganization Plan 1, 1958	9683

5 CFR

6	9909, 9937, 10019, 10081
25	10019, 10081
27	9937
30	10082

6 CFR

421	9714, 9937, 9984
472	9714
475	9909

7 CFR

51	9809
301	10019
354	9938
362	9881
722	9938
727	10082
750	9984
775	9984
776	9984
811	9883
855	9886
874	10083
900	9939
905	9714, 10085–10087
907	10087
908	9886, 10089, 10090
910	9886
915	10090
929	9910
944	9771, 9809, 10091
947	9887
982	9939
987	10022
1067	10091
1073	10091
PROPOSED RULES:	
722	9996
724	10050
815	10103
900—999	10048
905	9819
909	9924
948	9954
970	9776
984	9955
990	10048

9 CFR

74	10038

9 CFR—Continued

	Page
PROPOSED RULES:	
74	10103
145	9994
146	9994
147	9994

10 CFR

112	10117
PROPOSED RULES:	
20	9898
30	9898

12 CFR

1	9890
9	9764, 10092
206	9771, 10038
210	10038
522	10092
545	9910, 9911

13 CFR

107	9743
121	9757
PROPOSED RULES:	
121	9727

14 CFR

60	9697
208	9939, 10027
249	9911
507	9697, 9772, 9841, 9842, 10027, 10117, 10118.
550	10093
600	9697–9699, 9842
601	9698, 9699, 9772, 9984, 10118
608	9772, 9810, 9984, 10093
609	9700, 9707, 10028, 10036
610	10093
PROPOSED RULES:	
42	10124
151 [New]	9822
153 [New]	9822
155 [New]	9822
157 [New]	9822
161 [New]	9822
163 [New]	9822
507	9923, 10125
550	9822
555	9822
565	9822
574	9822
575	9822
576	9822
577	9822
600	10103
601	9952–9954
608	10104
625	9822